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In 1973 S. Coleman and E. Weinberg investigated the effect of radiative corrections on the possibility of spontaneous symmetry breaking in seminal paper [1]. A. D. Linde studied some physical consequences of spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetry at one-loop level. The author considered a complex scalar field minimally coupled to $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge field with potential
$V=\lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2}-\mu^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi$. Renormalization conditions for effective potential fixed vacuum expectation value and mass of excitataions to its classical values. The effective potential has the second minimum at $\langle\varphi\rangle=0$. The condition $V_{e f f}(\sigma)<V_{e f f}(0)$ imposed a constraint on self-interaction coupling :

$$
\lambda>\frac{3}{32 \pi^{2}} g^{4}
$$

Even if classical value of $\lambda$ is very small, due to one-loop correction it becomes equal to $\lambda_{e f f}=\lambda+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} g^{4}$.

These inequalities for coupling constant were rewritten in terms of masses of scalar and vector particles. The lower bound on the Higgs mass was estimated as $m_{H}>5 \mathrm{GeV}$. This approach was generalized soon to non-abelian case by S. Weinberg [2]. In this case the lower bound on Higgs mass is $m_{H}>7.4 \mathrm{GeV}$ taking into account contribution of $W, Z$-bosons to effective potential. This lower bound is known as Weinberg-Linde value. It is worth to mention that fermions propagating in the loop diminish WL value ( due to the opposite statistics). t-quark contribution makes the effective potential unbounded from below. So one loop approximation is not valid. At two loops [4, 5] nonsymmetric vacuum approaches the metastability boundary $V_{\text {eff }}(\sigma) \simeq V_{\text {eff }}(0)$ at $m_{H} \simeq$ $126 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{t} \simeq 174 \mathrm{GeV}[6]$.
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