## Possible observation of an MO transition

E.P. Grigor'ev

Scientific-Research Institute of Physics, A. A. Zhdanov Leningad State University

(Submitted 18 June 1988)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 48, No. 9, 177–178 (25 August 1988)

The decay of the 2819.8-keV level of the  $0^-$  daughter nucleus  $^{170}$ Yb goes to lowlying levels. Partial decay half-lives of the competing transitions have been found through a normalization to the one-particle probability for the 1101.5-keV E 2 transition. The 2819.9  $\pm$  0.9-keV conversion transition is directed to the  $0^+$  ground state. Its partial decay half-life is  $1\times 10^{-6}$  s. It has been assigned a multipolarity MO.

There has been no previous report of an experimental observation of an MO transition. Such transitions may occur between levels with identical spins and different parities. Monochromatic  $\gamma$ -ray emission is forbidden here, but monoenergetic internal-conversion electrons may be emitted. A mechanism for the appearance of such electrons through a nuclear-electron bridge was examined by Krutov.<sup>1</sup>

The most likely place to find an MO transition is in an even-even nucleus, in the decay of  $0^-$  excited levels to  $0^+$  ground states. A suitable nucleus for searching for MO transitions is  $^{170}$ Yb. The  $0^-$  levels in this nucleus are excited in the  $\beta$  decay of  $^{170}$ Lu  $(0^+, T_{1/2} = 48.2 \text{ h}).^2$  The first searches for  $0^-$  levels in  $^{170}$ Yb were carried out in 1967; they were reliably established in 1972 (Ref. 9). Among these levels is a level at 2819.6 keV with  $0^-$ . In its decay to low-lying levels, one observes an M2 transition at 2735.6 keV  $(0^--2^+)$ , an  $E10^--1^+$  transition, and two E2 and E3 and E3 transitions. The review by Dzhelepov² contains data on the spectra of the  $\gamma$  rays of the internal-conversion electrons and on the conversion coefficients, which have been determined in several studies.

The spectrum of internal-conversion electrons contains a transition at  $2819.9 \pm 0.9$  keV, which has not seen in the  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum. We believe that this is a direct transition from the 2819.6-keV,  $0^-$  level to the 0 ground state of  $^{170}$ Yb. The possibility that this transition is of multipolarity MO is not ruled out. Taking 0.02% as an upper limit on the intensity of a  $\gamma$ -ray transition (this is roughly the same as the intensities of the weak nearby transitions in the spectrum), we estimate the conversion coefficient to be  $\alpha_c > 5 \times 10^{-4}$ . This figure rules out an E1 multipolarity, but not M1, M2, or higher. Furthermore, it does not rule out MO.

In principle, there are two groups of possibilities for the position of the 2819.9-keV transition: 1) Beside the level at 2819.6 keV, within  $\pm$  0.5 keV, there is another level, with  $J^{\pi}=1^+$  or  $0^+$ , which decays to the ground state through a 2819.9-keV transition. 2) There is a level at 2819.9 + 84.3 = 2904.2 keV with  $1^+$ ; from it, there is a 2819.9-keV transition to the 84.3-keV first excited  $2^+$  level. Spins of  $2^+$  and higher are ruled out for either of these proposed levels by the values of  $\log ft$  for the  $\beta$  transitions, since the  $\beta$  decay of  $^{170}$ Lu comes from a  $0^+$  level. Neither of the two

possible levels has been confirmed; transitions of the required energy and multipolarity are not observed. Such transitions may go from the proposed levels to other known levels in <sup>170</sup>Yb. These possibilities cannot be ruled out entirely, although they do seem unlikely.

An estimate of the partial decay half-life of the 2819.9-keV transition yields  $1\times10^{-6}$ s. This estimate was found by comparing the intensities of various transitions which come from the 2819.6-keV level. It is necessary to know the partial decay half-life for at least one transition. Let us assume that the partial decay half-life with respect to the E 2 transition at 1101.5 keV  $(0^--2^-)$  is equal to the one-particle value, T (one-particle, E 2)  $= 1\times10^{-11}$  s. For any competing ith transition, the partial half-life is given by  $T_i = T(E 2)I(E 2)/I_i$ . The validity of the normalization is supported by the hindrance factors  $F_h = T_i/T_i$  (one-particle). As it turns out, these factors do not go beyond the systematics. For the 530.4-keV E 1 transition, the factor is  $F_h(E 1) = 7\times10^4$ ; that for the 2135.6-keV M2 transition is  $F_h(M 2) = 200$ ; and the 834.4-keV E 2 transition is accelerated by a factor of four. The M1 transitions are hindered by factors ranging from 5 to 6000.

We know that both  $\gamma$ -ray emission and ordinary internal conversion of electrons are forbidden in a  $0^--0^+$  transition. In 1962, however, Krutov studied and carried out calculations on the emission of electrons in an MO conversion through a mechanism of a nuclear-electron bridge. The transition results from a cascade of pairs of virtual E1 and M1 transitions through levels close to the original state, lying both above and below it. More than 20  $1^-$  levels and more than 10  $1^+$  levels have been observed in  $^{170}$ Yb in the interval of excitation energies 2300–3300 keV. There is a need for calculations based on this model and a comparison of the results with the value found here,  $T(MO) = 10^{-6}$  s.

Competing mechanisms for the de-excitation of the 2819.6-keV level might be the simultaneous emission of two continuum  $\gamma$  rays or two internal-conversion electrons.

Translated by Dave Parsons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>V. A. Krutov, Program and Abstracts of Reports of the Twelfth Annual Conference on Nuclear Spectroscopy, Nauka, Leningrad, 1962, p. 82; Ann. Phys. 25, 10 (1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>B. S. Dzhelepov, V. E. Ter-Nersesyants, and S. A. Shestopalova, *Decay Schemes of Radioactive Nuclei*. A = 169, 170, Nauka, Leningrad, 1988.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>N. A. Bonch-Osmolovskaya, Ya. Vrzal, E. P. Grigor'ev et al., Preprint R6-3452, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>D. C. Camp and F. M. Berntal, Phys. Rev. C6, 1040 (1972).