

Nucleon-Antinucleon Interactions, Bressanone, Italy, 23–28 June 1980, p. 283.

³O. D. Dalkarov, K. V. Protasov, and I. S. Shapiro, Preprint-37, P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1980; I. S. Shapiro, Nucl. Phys. **A478**, 665 (1988) (and see the bibliography there).

⁴O. D. Dalkarov and K. V. Protasov, Preprint-157, P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1988; O. D. Dalkarov and K. V. Protasov, Nucl. Phys. **A478**, 1 (1988) (and see the bibliography there).

⁵B. Delcourt *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B86**, 395 (1979); G. Bassompierre *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B68**, 477 (1977).

⁶L. Gray, P. Hagerty, and T. Kalogeropoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 1491 (1971).

⁷L. N. Bogdanova, O. D. Dalkarov, and I. S. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28**, 1418 (1972).

Translated by Dave Parsons

Possibility of studying the difference between $\tilde{\nu}_e$ and ν_e in gallium through the use of an artificial source of $\tilde{\nu}_e$

O. V. Bychuk and V. N. Gavrin

Institute of Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences of the USSR

(Submitted 16 January 1989)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **49**, No. 5, 244–245 (10 March 1989)

The possibility of improving the existing limitation on the difference between $\tilde{\nu}_e$ and ν_e through the use of an artificial source of $\tilde{\nu}_e$ in a gallium-germanium neutrino telescope is analyzed.

The question of calibrating a gallium-germanium neutrino telescope with the help of an artificial neutrino source of ultrahigh activity (~ 1 MCi) has recently been discussed widely.^{1,2} In this connection it is interesting to analyze the possibility of setting up an experiment to determine the difference between $\tilde{\nu}_e$ and ν_e through the use of an artificial source of antineutrinos. Experiments of this sort have been carried out at a nuclear reactor³ and at an accelerator.⁴ Barabanov *et al.*⁵ have suggested using a gallium target in the flux of antineutrinos from a reactor for this purpose.

The $\tilde{\nu}_e$ source might be a β^- -active isotope whose decay half-life is on the order of several tens of days, whose energy release is as large as possible, and whose decay is not accompanied by the emission of hard γ rays. The most suitable sources are ³²P ($Q_B = 1.710$ MeV, $t_{1/2} = 14.28$ days), ⁸⁹Sr ($Q_B = 1.492$ MeV, $t_{1/2} = 50.5$ days), ¹⁴³Pr ($Q_B = 0.935$ MeV, $t_{1/2} = 13.58$ days), and ¹⁷⁰Tm ($Q_B = 0.968$ MeV, $t_{1/2} = 128.6$ days). It would not seem possible to collect enough ⁸⁹Sr or ¹⁴³Pr (~ 3.5 g and ~ 15 g, respectively), so we will not discuss them further.

The cross section for the reaction ⁷¹Ga(ν_e, e^-)⁷¹Ge, averaged over the $\tilde{\nu}_e$ spectrum from ³²P, is 1.18×10^{-44} cm², while that averaged over the $\tilde{\nu}_e$ spectrum from ¹⁷⁰Tm is 0.50×10^{-44} cm². The number of ⁷¹Ge atoms which we would expect under the assumption $\tilde{\nu}_e \equiv \nu_e$ reaches a maximum after an 18-day exposure in the case of ³²P

and after a 43-day exposure in the case of ^{170}Tm . Using 10 metric tons of metallic gallium, allowing for the actual geometry of a gallium-germanium experiment,¹ assuming that the flux of neutrinos from the sun—the primary source of background—corresponds to the standard solar model⁶ (the effect at the ^{71}Ga would be $\sim 10^2$ solar neutrino units), and assuming that the initial activity of the source is ~ 1 MCi, we can place the following limitation on the value of $\alpha^2 = \sigma_{\text{expt}}/\sigma_{\text{theo}} (\tilde{\nu}_e \equiv \nu_e)$:

$$\alpha^2 \lesssim 0.006 \quad (1)$$

in the case of ^{32}P . This figure is an order of magnitude lower than what is currently the best limitation, which was found from Ref. 4. For the case of ^{170}Tm we find

$$\alpha^2 \lesssim 0.01, \quad (2)$$

In 0.144% of the cases, the ^{170}Tm will decay through electron capture ($Q_e = 0.314$ MeV), but this reaction will not result in the formation of a significant amount of ^{71}Ge (~ 0.1 at over 43 days).

The most natural way to obtain the necessary isotopes appears to be the (n, γ) reaction involving thermal neutrons, since the natural abundance of both ^{31}P and ^{169}Tm is 100%, and the cross sections for the radiative capture of neutrons are fairly large (averaged over the spectrum of reactor neutrons, they are 0.18 b and 106 b, respectively⁷). For a neutron flux density of $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, the amount of natural phosphorus which we would have to irradiate in order to produce a source of the required activity would be 5–5.5 kg, while in the case of thulium we would need 0.5 kg for a bombardment time of 40 days. The cross section for neutron activation of ^{170}Tm has been assumed to be⁷ 92 b, and the interval of values given here for phosphorus corresponds to a variation of the neutron activation cross section for ^{32}P from 0 to 20 b.

Another method for producing ^{32}P which might turn out to be practical would be to make use of the reaction $^{32}\text{S}(n, p)^{32}\text{P}$ in the flux of a fast-neutron reactor (the natural abundance of ^{32}S is 95.02%).

We wish to thank G. T. Zatsepin for constant and stimulating interest in this study and also E. P. Veretenkin, S. N. Dan'shin, R. Davis, B. T. Cleveland, V. N. Kornoukhov, K. Lande, A. M. Pshukov, and V. É. Yanets for useful discussions.

¹V. N. Gavrin, S. N. Dan'shin, G. T. Zatsepin, and A. V. Kopylov, Preprint P-0335, Institute of Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1984.

²W. C. Haxton, Phys. Rev. **38**, 2474 (1988).

³R. Davis, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. (r) **4**, 217 (1959).

⁴A. M. Cooper, Phys. Lett. **122B**, 97 (1982).

⁵I. R. Barabanov, A. A. Borovoi, V. N. Gavrin, G. T. Zatsepin, A. Yu. Smirnov, and A. N. Kheruvimov, Preprint P-0466, Institute of Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1986.

⁶J. N. Bahcall and R. K. Ulrich, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 297 (1988).

⁷C. M. Lederer, V. S. Shirley *et al.*, Table of Isotopes, New York, 1978.

Translated by Dave Parsons