Bosonization and calculation of correlation functions in the Wess-Zumino-Witten model

A. V. Marshakov

P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow

(Submitted 27 February 1989)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor Fiz. 49, No. 7, 369–372 (10 April 1989)

A representation of 2D conformal field theories with a current algebra in terms of free fields is discussed. A Dotsenko-Fateev procedure is proposed. Correlation functions in a $SU(2)_k$ Wess-Zumino-Witten theory are calculated.

- 1. The hypothesis that the 2D conformal field theories which originally arose in a study of statistical models are classical solutions in string theory has recently been adopted widely. On the other hand, the idea that a calculation of correlation functions in any conformal theory can be reduced to a calculation in string theory, i.e., to a representation in terms of free fields, as was done in Ref. 1 for minimal models, has proved to be exceedingly successful. A representation in terms of free fields (a "bosonization") can be particularly useful in a calculation of correlation functions on a surface of a higher kind. Below we propose a Dotsenko-Fateev procedure for calculating correlation functions on a sphere in the simplest Wess-Zumino-Witten model with an $SU(2)_{L}$ current algebra.
 - 2. The $SU(2)_k$ current algebra can be realized in the following way¹⁾:

$$J_{z}(z) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} w(z), \quad H(z) = iq \partial \phi(z) - w(z) \chi(z)$$

$$J_{z}(z) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} [w(z)\chi^{2}(z) - 2iq\chi(z)\partial \phi(z) + 2(1 - q^{2}) \partial \chi(z)],$$
(1)

where w and χ are boson 1- and 0-differentials, ϕ is a scalar field which takes on a value in a circle, $\partial = \partial / \partial z$, and the parameter q is related to the level of the algebra, k, by $2q^2 = k + 2$. The fields w, χ , ϕ are free in the sense that we can write

$$w(z)\chi(z') = (z-z')^{-1} + \dots, \quad \phi(z)\phi(z') = -\log(z-z') + \dots$$

The system w, χ can be bosonized literally as a boson system of j- and (1-j)-differentials⁷ (with j=1):

$$w = -\partial \xi e^{-u} = -i\partial v e^{-u+iv}, \quad \chi = \eta e^u = e^{u-iv}$$

$$\xi(z)m(z') = (z-z')^{-1} + \dots, u(z)u(z') = -\log(z-z') + \dots, v(z)v(z') = -\log(z-z') + \dots$$

The expressions for the generators in (1) then take the form

$$J_{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial v e^{-u + iv}, \qquad H = iq \partial \phi + \partial u$$

$$J_{-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[2q \partial \phi - 2iq^{2} \partial u + (1 - 2q^{2}) \partial v \right] e^{u - iv}.$$

$$(2)$$

The energy-momentum tensor of the system is determined by a standard Sugawara construction:

$$T = 1/2q^2 : 2J_x J_x + H^2 := w\partial\chi + T_{\phi} = T_{\mu} + T_{\nu} + T_{\phi}. \tag{3}$$

In other words, the energy-momentum tensor is a sum of "elongated" tensors:

$$T_{\varphi} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^{2} + i\sqrt{2}\alpha_{0, \varphi} \partial^{2}\varphi, \quad \varphi = u, v, \phi$$

$$\alpha_{0, u} = i/2\sqrt{2}, \quad \alpha_{0, v} = 1/2\sqrt{2}, \quad \alpha_{0, \phi} = -1/2\sqrt{2}q . \tag{4}$$

Energy-momentum tensor (3), (4) is actually a tensor of a system of *free* scalar fields, in contrast with the case studied in Ref. 8.

3. It is natural to seek conformal fields in a theory with current algebra (2) and energy-momentum tensor (3) as an exponential function of the scalars ϕ , u, and v. Examining the operator expansions with currents (2), we easily verify that the vertex operators

$$V_{j} = \exp\left(i\frac{j}{q}\phi\right), \quad V_{j,-1} = \exp\left(i\frac{j}{q}\phi\right)\chi = \exp\left(i\frac{j}{q}\phi + u - iv\right),$$

$$V_{j,-2} = \exp\left(i\frac{j}{q}\phi\right)\chi^{2} = \exp\left(i\frac{j}{q}\phi + 2(u - iv)\right), \dots, \quad V_{j,-2j} \equiv V_{-j}.$$
(5)

form an $SU(2)_k$ representation of weight j. The dimensionalities of the operators of series (5) are identical (χ has a zero dimensionality) and are given by

$$\Delta_j = \frac{f(j+1)}{2q^2} = \frac{j(j+1)}{k+2}$$
.

The operators of series (5) can be written in the form

$$V_{j, m-j} = \exp(i\frac{j}{q}\phi)\chi^{j-m} = \exp(i\frac{j}{q}\phi + (j-m)(u-iv)),$$

where m = j, j - 1,..., -j is the "angular-momentum projection."

4. We turn now to a calculation of correlation functions on a sphere. It follows from the gravitational anomaly that the correlation function in the selected plane metric depends on the point of its singularity or, more precisely, is a differential of degree -c/3, where c is the central charge. Since the central charge of the $SU(2)_k$ Wess-Zumino-Witten theory,

$$c = c_{\phi} + c_{\mu} + c_{\nu} = (1 - 24\alpha_{0,\phi}^2) + (1 - 24\alpha_{0,\mu}^2) + (1 - 24\alpha_{0,\nu}^2) = 3 - 3/q^2$$

differs from that of a system of three free scalar fields, we need to place a "vacuum charge" (with a dimensionality $\Delta_s = 1/q^2$ and a zero angular-momentum projection) at the point of the singularity of the metric, R (at infinity if the metric is $ds^2 = dzdz$):

$$V_s(R) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{q}\phi(R)\right]\chi(R) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{q}\phi(R) + u(R) - iv(R)\right]. \tag{6}$$

The correlation functions in the theory thus depend explicitly on the point of the singularity of the metric, R, but they can be normalized by some factor, 11 and we can analyze the dependence of only the points at which the operator of the conformal theory are placed.

As a result of this discussion, we can write the following expression for a nonzero two-point function:

$$\langle V_{j, m-j}(z) \stackrel{\sim}{V_{j, m'-j}}(0) \rangle_s \sim \frac{\delta_{m+m', 0}}{z^{2\Delta_j}},$$

where the average is to be understood as a path integral over the free fields with a mandatory insertion of vacuum charge (6). The operators with a tilde (~) are given by

$$\widetilde{V}_{j, m-j} \equiv V_{-1-j, 1+j+m} \tag{7}$$

They do not form representations of algebra (1), (2), but they do have the same dimensionality and angular-momentum projection as $V_{j,m-j}$.

We turn now to a calculation of the four-point field correlation function in the fundamental representation j = 1/2. We use a subscript plus sign for m = 1/2, and a minus sign for m = -1/2. We have four possible operators:

$$V_{+} = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2q}\phi\right), \qquad V_{-} = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2q}\phi + u - iv\right)$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{+} = \exp\left(-i\frac{3}{2q}\phi - 2u + 2iv\right), \quad \widetilde{V}_{-} = \exp\left(-i\frac{3}{2q}\phi - u + iv\right).$$

Let us calculate the correlation function which contains three operators (5) and one operator (7), as in Ref. 1. The correlation function will be of the form

$$\langle \tilde{V}_{-}(0) V_{+}(x) V_{+}(1) V_{-}(\infty) Q \rangle_{g}$$
 , (8)

where, instead of insertion (6), we need to insert a so-called Feigin-Fuks operator in order satisfy charge conservation. A Feigin-Fuks operator is an integral of an operator of unit dimensionality over a closed contour. For the theory under consideration here, an operator of unit dimensionality is

$$J(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{q}\phi(t) - u(t) + iv(t)\right) [A \partial u(t) + B \partial v(t)].$$

The presence of constants A and B leads to a multiplication of the correlation function by a numerical factor (A - iB), so we can simply set

$$J(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{q}\phi(t)\right)w(t) = -i\partial v \exp\left[-\frac{i}{q}\phi - u + iv\right]$$

$$O = \phi J.$$
(9)

Substituting (9) into (8), and going through the elementary calculations, we find

$$\oint dt \left\langle \widetilde{V}_{-}(0)V_{+}(x)V_{+}(1)V_{-}(\infty)J(t)\right\rangle_{s}$$

$$\propto \oint dt \ t^{(1-k)/(k+2)}(t-1)^{-1/(k+2)}(t-x)^{-1/(k+2)}.$$

Depending on the choice of integration contour, we will have two independent solutions:

$$F(\frac{1}{k+2}, -\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{k}{k+2}, x); \qquad F(\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{3}{k+2}, \frac{k+4}{k+2}, x),$$

[$F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,x)$ is the hypergeometric function] of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations.⁴

Finally, calculating the field correlation function in this manner,

$$\langle \Phi_{j_1, m_1}(0) \Phi_{j_2, m_2}(x) \Phi_{j_3, m_3}(1) \Phi_{j_4, m_4}(\infty) \rangle, \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i = 0, \quad j_4 = \sum_{i=1}^3 j_i - l,$$

we verify that we need to make precisely l insertions of the operator Q in (9). A simple calculation leads to the following result for the correlation functions:

$$\sum_{\gamma} C_{\gamma} \oint \prod_{i} dt_{i} \prod_{i < j} (t_{i} - t_{j})^{-\frac{1}{q^{2}} - \gamma_{ij}}$$

$$\lim_{\gamma} \frac{1}{q^{2}} - \frac{j_{1}}{q^{2}} - \gamma_{i}^{(1)} - \frac{j_{3}}{q^{2}} - \gamma_{i}^{(3)} - \frac{j_{2}}{q^{2}} - \gamma_{i}^{(2)}$$

$$\times \prod_{i} t_{i} t_{i} \qquad (t_{i} - 1)$$

where

$$\gamma = 0,1: \ \Sigma \gamma = l, \quad \gamma_i^{(1)} = \gamma_i^{(3)},$$
 (10)

and C_{γ} are certain coefficients. By choosing various integration contours, we can find l+1 independent solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations in (10) (Ref. 13).

The procedure outlined here can be developed further for the more general case of Wess-Zumino-Witten models.¹⁴

We wish to thank A. Gerasimov, Vl. Dotsenko, and A. Mironov for useful discussions; we especially thank A. Morozov for constant interest in this study and for valuable comments.

¹¹Vl. Dotsenko told the author about this representation (see Ref. 5 and, for the case of an arbitrary algebra, Ref. 6).

Translated by Dave Parsons

¹VI. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, Nucl. Phys. **B240**, [FS12], 312 (1984).

²A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241**, 333 (1984).

³E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 92, 455 (1984).

⁴V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B243, 83 (1984).

⁵M. Wakimoto, Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 605 (1986), A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili, Preprint LOMI E-16-88.

⁶B. L. Feigin and É. V. Frenkel', Usp. Mat. Nauk, 43, 227 (1988); A. Morozov, Preprint ITEP, 1989.

⁷D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B271**, 93 (1986).

⁸K. Li and N. Warner, Preprint CERN-TH, 5047/88; P. Grifin and D. Nemeschansky, Preprint SLAC-PUB-4666/88; E. B. Kiritsis, Preprint CALT-68-1508, 1988.

⁹L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B234**, 269 (1983).

¹⁰V. G. Knizhnik, Phys. Lett. **B180**, 247 (1986).

¹¹A. Gerasimov and A. Morozov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 48, 409 (1988) [JETP Lett. 48, 449 (1988)].

¹²B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuks, Funk. Analiz Prilozh 17, 241 (1983).

¹³P. Christe and R. Flume, Preprint Bonn-HE-86-10, 1986.

¹⁴A. Morozov et al., Preprint ITEP, 1989.