Polarization rotation of slow neutrons because of parity nonconservation in a nuclear interaction

I. S. Shapiro

P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR

(Submitted 18 February 1982)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 35, No. 6, 275-276 (20 March 1982)

The spin of a neutron will rotate in the plane of its wave vector in a homogeneous medium as a result of parity-nonconserving inelastic processes. The change in the spin component along the momentum is given by a single-parameter Lorentz transformation with a rapidity proportional to the path length in the medium and to a quantity that determines the helical dichroism.

PACS numbers: 28.20.He, 24.70 + s, 11.30.Er

The amplitude for the forward scattering of a neutron by a spin-zero nucleus in the case of parity nonconservation is

$$f = A + B \dot{\sigma} n , \qquad (1)$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices, and n is a unit vector along the direction of the neutron's momentum. The parity nonconservation is caused by the second (spin) term in (1).

The rotation of the spin of a slow neutron during coherent scattering with parity nonconservation was studied by Stodolsky, who assumed that the amplitude B was real. Because of this assumption, the spin rotated only in the plane perpendicular to n (by analogy with the rotation of the linear polarization of light in a gyrotropic medium). Recent experiments, however, have revealed that the energy dependence of the quantity

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sigma_{+} - \sigma_{-}}{\sigma_{+} + \sigma},\tag{2}$$

which is a measure of the helical dichroism (σ_{\pm} are the total interaction cross sections for neutrons with different helicities),¹⁾ is of a resonance nature. Since the total cross section for slow resonance neutrons (with an energy on the order of 1 eV) is determined primarily by inelastic processes, the results of Ref. 2 mean that the amplitude B (like the amplitude A) has an imaginary part which does not vanish at low energies.²⁾ Specifically, from the unitarity relation we have (the optical theorem)

$$\operatorname{Im} B = \epsilon \operatorname{Im} A . \tag{3}$$

Let us assume that the propagation of the neutron wave in the medium is described by a refractive index

$$N = 1 + \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \rho f \,, \tag{4}$$

where k is the wave number and ρ the density of scattering centers. The spinor wave function $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ of the neutron at the point \mathbf{r} is then given by

$$|\mathbf{r}\rangle = \left[\exp\left(ikNx\right)\right]|0\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where

$$x = nr$$
, $|0\rangle = |r = 0\rangle$, $<0 |0\rangle = 1$. (6)

If the neutrons are polarized along the direction of the unit vector \vec{v} at r = 0, then

$$\langle \vec{\sigma} \, \mathbf{n} \rangle_0 \equiv \langle 0 \, | \, \vec{\sigma} \mathbf{n} | \, 0 \rangle = \mathbf{n} \, \vec{v} \,. \tag{7}$$

We wish to evaluate the quantity

$$\langle \vec{\sigma} \, \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\mathbf{x}} = \langle \mathbf{r} \, | \, \vec{\sigma} \, \mathbf{n} | \, \mathbf{r} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{r} \, | \, \mathbf{r} \rangle. \tag{8}$$

The exponential function in (5) is given explicitly by

$$\exp(ikNx) = l(x)u(x)\exp(i\kappa x), \qquad (9)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \kappa = k \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \rho \operatorname{Re} A \right), \qquad \operatorname{Im} \kappa = \frac{2\pi}{k} \rho \operatorname{Im} A, \qquad (10)$$

$$u(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \mathbf{n} \omega x\right), \qquad \omega = \frac{4\pi}{k}\rho \operatorname{Re}B,$$
 (11)

$$l(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \,\mathbf{n} \,\eta \,x\right), \quad \eta = \frac{4\pi}{k} \,\rho \,\mathrm{Im} \,B = 2\epsilon \,\mathrm{Im} \,\kappa \,. \tag{12}$$

The matrix u(x) in (9) causes the spin vector to rotate through an angle ωx around n. The matrix l(x), on the other hand, corresponds to a single-parameter Lorentz transformation for motion along n with a rapidity ηx . Also using (6) and (7) and noting that the quantities $\langle \mathbf{r} | \vec{\sigma} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ constitute a 4-vector, we can write

$$<\sigma n>_{x} = \frac{-v + nv}{1 - vn\vec{v}}, \quad v = th \eta x.$$
 (13)

This is the solution of our problem. In particular, it can be seen from (12) and (13) that the helical dichroism leads to a rotation of the neutron's spin in specifically that plane which contains the wave vector and that this dichroism is not directly related to a rotation of the spin around this wave vector. For an experimental determination of ImB, experiments carried out to measure ϵ and $\langle \vec{on} \rangle_x$ would be equivalent, but to find ReB it would be necessary to study the small-angle scattering of polarized neutrons or to measure the coherent spin-rotation angle around the momentum. Curiously, this purpose could be served, in principle, by neutron interferometry, since [as follows from (5), (9), and (11)] the spin rotation around n due to parity nonconservation corresponds to the same change in the spinor phase as in the precession of the neutron's spin in a magnetic field.⁴

¹⁾These experiments were carried out with nuclei having nonzero spins. For brevity, we have assumed in this letter that the spin of the target nucleus is zero; this assumption has no substantial effect on the results.

 $^{^{2)}}$ It also follows from the experiments of Ref. 3 with thermal neutrons (an energy of 0.03 eV) that ImB is not equal to zero.

- 1. L. Stodolsky, Phys. Lett. 96B, 127 (1980); 50B, 352 (1974).
- 2. V. P. Alfimenkov et al., Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34, 308 (1981) [JETP Lett. 34, 295 (1981)]; Preprint No. P3-81-79, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 1981.
- 3. E. A. Kolomensky et al., Preprint No. 662, Leningrad Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1981.
- 4. U. Bonse and H. Rauch, Neutron Interferometry, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

Translated by Dave Parsons Edited by S. J. Amoretty