

Low-temperature features of the magnetocapacitance of 2D electron systems

V. B. Shikin

Institute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 141432 Chernogolovka, Moscow Region, Russia

(Submitted 4 August 1994)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **60**, No. 6, 406–409 (25 September 1994)

The differential magnetocapacitance of a 2D electron system in the form of a screened strip is calculated. A magnetic field is directed normal to the plane of the strip. The strength of this field corresponds to a nearly integer value of the filling factor. © 1994 American Institute of Physics.

In an interesting experimental study, Takaoka *et al.*¹ showed that, at its minima, the low-temperature magnetocapacitance of a two-dimensional (2D) electron system with a control electrode is proportional to the perimeter of the 2D system. This result is at odds with other calculations of the magnetocapacitance (see, for example, Refs. 2–4). Takaoka *et al.*¹ concluded, therefore, that magnetoelectric effects require a special analysis near minima of the magnetocapacitance. They believe that edge states may be contributing to the total capacitance of a bounded 2D system under conditions corresponding to integer values of the filling factor deep in the 2D system. The nature of these oscillations was not specified in Ref. 1; it was simply stated that the length scale of their localization near the boundary is far greater than the magnetic length.

In this letter we calculate the minimum magnetocapacitance of a 2D strip with a control electrode, utilizing a special electrostatics which holds in magnetized 2D systems with an integer filling factor.⁵ The calculations confirm that the minimum capacitance is proportional to the perimeter of the 2D system. Arguments from Ref. 5 are systematically used in the literature to describe various magnetoelectric effects.^{6,7} With the same degree of justification as in Refs. 5–7, we can naturally apply the electrostatics of Ref. 5 to the problem of the magnetocapacitance of 2D systems.

We consider a plane capacitor which has a large dimension along the Y axis, which has a width $2w$ along the X axis, and whose plates are separated by a distance $2d$. We place the origin of coordinates at the center of the capacitor. The magnetic field is directed along the Z axis. The system is immersed in a medium with a dielectric constant κ . The lower plate of the capacitor, which is in the $z = -d$ plane, is a 2D-electron system with an equilibrium electron density n_s , which corresponds, at the given magnetic field H , to the requirement that the filling factor ν have an integer value:

$$\nu = \pi l_H^2 n_s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad l_H^2 = c\hbar/eH. \quad (1)$$

Our task is to calculate the distribution of the additional electron density δn_1 along the 2D system when a potential difference V appears across the plates. The corresponding capacitance C is given by

$$C = dQ/dV, \quad Q = e \int_{-w}^{+w} \delta n_1(s) ds. \quad (2)$$

In the approximation of Ref. 5, the necessary equations are ($eV \ll \hbar \omega_c$)

$$\varphi_1(xz) + \varphi_2(xz) \Big|_{z=+d} = 0, \quad -w \leq x \leq +w, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{ve}{\hbar \omega_c} (\varphi_1'' + \varphi_2'') \Big|_{z=-d} = \delta n_1, \quad -w \leq x \leq +w, \quad (4)$$

$$\varphi_i' = d\varphi_i/dx, \quad \omega_c = eH/m_*c, \quad \varphi_1 + \varphi \Big|_{z=-d}^{x=\pm w} = V,$$

$$\varphi_1'(xz) = \frac{2e}{\kappa} \int_{-w}^{+w} \frac{\delta n_1(s)(x-s)ds}{(x-s)^2 + (z+d)^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\varphi_2'(xz) = \frac{2e}{\kappa} \int_{-w}^{+w} \frac{\delta n_2(s)(x-s)ds}{(x-s)^2 + (z-d)^2}.$$

Here δn_1 and δn_2 are the distributions of excess charge densities along the 2D system and the control electrode, and φ_1 and φ_2 are corresponding potentials which arise because of the distributions δn_1 and δn_2 . Requirement (3) is the standard condition that the control electrode be of an equipotential nature. Condition (4) from Ref. 5 determines the specific features of the behavior of the 2D system at integer values of ν . The quantity ω_c is the cyclotron frequency, and m_* is the effective mass of an electron.

In the limit $w \gg l \gg d$ we find the following expressions from (3)–(5):

$$\delta n_1 = l^2 (\delta n_1'' + \delta n_2''), \quad l^2 = \nu l_H^2 d / a_b^*, \quad (6)$$

$$a_b^* = \kappa \hbar^2 / m_* e^2, \quad \frac{2\pi ed}{\kappa} (\delta n_1 + \delta n_2) \Big|_{\pm w} = V,$$

$$\delta n_1 - \delta n_2 \approx \frac{\hbar \omega_c \kappa}{2e^2 \nu \sqrt{w^2 - x^2}} \int_{-w}^{+w} ds \frac{\sqrt{w^2 - s^2}}{x-s} \int_0^s \delta n_1 d\sigma, \quad (7)$$

$$\int_{-w}^{+w} (\delta n_1 - \delta n_2) ds = 0.$$

Here a_b^* is the effective first Bohr radius.

The requirement $l \gg d$, which we used in deriving Eqs. (6) and (7), is not necessary; it merely simplifies the calculations. For the parameter values from Ref. 1, it corresponds to the limit $\nu \gg 1$. In particular, in this case it is convenient to displace the origin of coordinates to one end of the strip (say, to the point $x = -w$) and to put Eqs. (6) and (7) in dimensionless form:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} (\delta \tilde{n}_1 + \delta \tilde{n}_2) \approx \delta n_1, \quad \xi = x/l,$$

$$\delta\tilde{n}_i = \delta n_i / n_s, \quad \delta\tilde{n}_1 + \delta\tilde{n}_2 \Big|_{\xi=0} = \bar{V}, \quad \delta\tilde{n}_1 + \delta\tilde{n}_2 \Big|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{V} = \kappa V / (2\pi e n_s d),$$

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1 - \delta\tilde{n}_2 \approx \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\xi}} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\xi-s} \int_0^s \delta\tilde{n}_1(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha = \hbar \omega_c l / \nu e^2.$$

For the parameter values from Ref. 1 ($\kappa = 12.3$, $m_* = 0.07m_e$, $2d = 1000 \text{ \AA}$ and $n_s = 2.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and for a magnetic field $H \approx 10T$ (corresponding to $\nu \approx 1$), we have the estimates $l_H \approx a_b^* \approx 10^{-6} \text{ cm}$, $l \approx d$, and $\alpha \approx 1$. With increasing ν , the quantity l increases as ν^2 , while the parameter α falls off as ν^{-1} . In the region $\nu \gg 1$ we thus have $l \gg d$, as mentioned above; we also have $\alpha \ll 1$.

Because the parameter α is a small value, we can seek a solution of (8) and (9) as a power series in α :

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1 = \delta\tilde{n}_1^0 + \alpha \delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} + \dots, \quad \delta\tilde{n}_2 = \delta\tilde{n}_2^0 + \alpha \delta\tilde{n}_2^{(1)} + \dots \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) into (8) and (9), we find

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1^0 = \delta\tilde{n}_2^0, \quad 2 \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \delta\tilde{n}_1^0 = \delta\tilde{n}_1^0, \quad (11)$$

$$2 \delta\tilde{n}_1^0 \Big|_0 = \bar{V}, \quad \delta\tilde{n}_1^0 \Big|_\infty \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} - \delta\tilde{n}_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\xi-s} \int_0^s \delta\tilde{n}_1^0(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} (\delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} + \delta\tilde{n}_2^{(1)}) = \delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)}, \quad \delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} + \delta\tilde{n}_2^{(1)} \Big|_0 = 0,$$

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} + \delta\tilde{n}_2^{(1)} \Big|_{+\infty} \rightarrow 0.$$

Restricting the discussion to the zeroth approximation, i.e., to Eqs. (11), we find

$$\delta\tilde{n}_1^0 = \frac{\bar{V}}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad (13)$$

$$C_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\pi} \frac{\kappa l}{d}; \quad (14)$$

here C is from (2).

The results in (13) and (14) confirm the experimentally based assertion of Takaoka *et al.*¹ that excess charge localizes near the boundaries of a 2D system at an integer value of the filling factor ν . In the zeroth approximation in α , the length of this localization

region is determined by the combination l from (6). The inequality $l \gg l_H$ corresponds to the data of Ref. 1 at a qualitative level, as does the behavior $l \propto \nu^2$ in the region $\nu > 1$.

¹S. Takaoka *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3080 (1994).

²R. Goodall *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 6597 (1985).

³T. Smith *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 2696 (1985).

⁴V. Mosser *et al.*, Solid State Commun. **58**, 5 (1986).

⁵A. MacDonald *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 3648 (1983).

⁶P. Fontein *et al.*, Swig. Sci. **263**, 91 (1992).

⁷N. Balaban *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1443 (1993).

Translated by D. Parsons