

Observation of stimulated forward orientational light scattering in a planar nematic liquid crystal

B. Ya. Zel'dovich, S. K. Merzlikin, N. F. Pilipetskiĭ, A. V. Sukhov
Institute of Problems of Mechanics, Academy of Sciences of the USSR

(Submitted 21 March 1985)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **41**, No. 10, 418-421 (25 May 1985)

Stimulated forward orientational scattering of light has been achieved experimentally in a planar sample of the nematic liquid crystal 5CB in a free-running pulse from a ruby laser. The stimulated scattering is definitely not a steady-state process. Measurements of the time evolution of the stimulated-scattering signal and of its angular spectrum confirm theoretical predictions. The steady-state gain is extremely high, reaching 5×10^3 cm/MW.

Zel'dovich and Tabiryan^{1,2} have theoretically predicted a forward stimulated scattering of light as a result of excitation of a traveling wave of the director orientation in a nematic liquid crystal. This prediction can be summarized as follows: An intense pump wave \mathbf{E}_L of the extraordinary polarization is propagating through a planar sample of a nematic liquid crystal along the direction normal to the unperturbed director \mathbf{n} of this crystal. An ordinary-polarization wave \mathbf{E}_S , with a slight frequency shift in the Stokes direction, is propagating collinear with \mathbf{E}_L . The interaction of these two waves with the dipole moment, which they induce in the medium, gives rise to a traveling wave of a reorientation of the director, $\delta\mathbf{n}$, by virtue of the anisotropy of the dielectric constant of the nematic liquid crystal. The amplitude of this reorientation traveling wave is proportional to $E_L^* E_S$; its wave vector \mathbf{q} is equal to the difference $|\mathbf{k}_S - \mathbf{k}_L| = 2\pi\Delta n/\lambda$, where $\Delta n = n_{\parallel} - n_{\perp}$ is the anisotropy of the refractive index of the liquid crystal, and λ is the wavelength of the light in a vacuum (we are ignoring the small difference between the wavelengths of \mathbf{E}_L and \mathbf{E}_S which results from their frequency difference). This reorientation wave in turn leads to the writing of a traveling grating of the dielectric tensor in the medium. The scattering of the pump wave \mathbf{E}_L by this grating leads in the steady-state case, i.e., with a steady-state amplitude $\delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$, to an exponential spatial amplification of the wave \mathbf{E}_S , i.e., to a stimulated scattering of \mathbf{E}_L into \mathbf{E}_S : $|\mathbf{E}_S|^2 \sim \exp(GLP_L)$, where G is the steady-state gain, L is the interaction length (the thickness of the cell), and $P_L = cn_{\parallel} |\mathbf{E}_L|^2/8\pi$ is the pump power density. The steady-state gain, the frequency shift of the stimulated scattering, and its relaxation time τ are¹

$$G = \frac{10^{13} \lambda (n_{\parallel} + n_{\perp})^2}{8\pi n_{\parallel} n_{\perp} K_{22} c} \left(\frac{\text{cm}}{\text{MW}} \right); \quad \Omega = \frac{K_{22} q^2}{\eta}; \quad \tau \approx \Omega^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Here K_{22} is the Frank constant, c is the velocity of light, and η is the orientational viscosity of the nematic liquid crystal. When the stimulated scattering is excited by a laser pulse with a length $\tau_p \ll \tau$, the stimulated scattering is definitely not a steady-state process. The time evolution of the intensity of the Stokes signal is described by (see Ref. 3, for example)

$$|E_S|^2 = \alpha |E_L|^2 \exp \left\{ 2\sqrt{2} \left(G \tau^{-1} L \int_0^t P_L dt' \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The factor $\alpha |E_L|^2$ arises in front of the exponential function because the stimulated scattering develops from nucleating noise which is the Fourier component of the spontaneous scattering of the pump by thermal fluctuations of the director with a suitable spatial and temporal period. This nucleating noise subsequently undergoes the exponential amplification described above.

In this letter we report the first experimental observation of a forward orientational stimulated scattering in a planar sample of the nematic liquid crystal 5CB, 70 μm thick. The stimulated scattering is excited by a free-running pulse from a ruby laser $\sim 800 \mu\text{s}$ long. An estimate of the relaxation time of the stimulated scattering from (1) yields $\tau \approx 5 \text{ ms}$, so that the stimulated scattering is definitely not a steady-state process.

The experimental arrangement used to observe the stimulated scattering is shown in Fig. 1. The light from a ruby laser (RL), whose energy is adjusted over the range $\sim 30\text{--}300 \text{ mJ}$ by filters LF , passes through an Iceland spar wedge W_1 , which singles out the polarization component e_L of this light, which corresponds to the e polarization for the liquid crystal, and through an iris diaphragm D_1 . The light is then focused by lens L_1 ($f = 5 \text{ cm}$) into a cell C holding the liquid crystal. Lens L_2 , along with L_1 and C , forms a telescope system. Most of the transmitted light is directed to a Glan prism G , which singles out the polarization component e_S corresponding to the stimulated-scattering signal. This component is detected by photocell F_2 . Part of the light transmitted through C is reflected from the glass wedge and passes through Iceland spar wedge W_2 , which separates the light into the components E_L and E_S ; this light is recorded on film with the help of a two-mirror stepped attenuator SA . This approach makes it possible to determine the angular divergences of E_L and E_S as the ratio of the size of the spot on the film to the focal length of L_2 . To monitor the energy and shape of the laser pulse, we use a standard (IMO-2) calorimeter Cal and photocell F_1 . Both the $|E_L(t)|^2$ signal and the instantaneous value $\int_0^t P_L dt'$ (with the help of an integrating circuit) are taken from this photocell.

It should be noted that in these experiments the laser is operated in two regimes: a regime of a single mode in terms of the transverse index and a multimode regime. All the energy measurements are carried out in the multimode regime, since the pulse in the single-mode regime has a microsecond peak structure, which makes it extremely difficult to carry out accurate quantitative measurements. In the multimode regime,




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.

on the other hand, by choosing an appropriate resonator geometry we obtain a pulse with a nearly smooth temporal envelope. Figure 2 shows some typical oscilloscope traces of the pump pulse and of the stimulated-scattering pulse (*a* and *b*, respectively) in this regime. The results of the measurements of the time evolution of the stimulated-scattering signal are shown in Fig. 3 as a plot of $\ln |\mathbf{E}_S|^2 / |\mathbf{E}_L|^2$ versus the instantaneous value of $(\int_0^t P_L dt')^{1/2}$. The obvious linearity of this dependence shows that the functional dependence of the results agrees well with Eq. (2). For a quantitative comparison of the proportionality factor of this dependence with the theoretical factor, we measured the transverse dimension of the beam in the crystal: $a = FWHM \approx 90 \mu\text{m}$. We found that the experimental proportionality factor is 1.2 times smaller than the theoretical factor calculated from $2\sqrt{2}(G\tau^{-1}(L/a^2))^{1/2}$. This result is again evidence of a good agreement of the theory with experiment within the experimental error. The experimental level of the spontaneous noise, α , is $\alpha \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}$. A theoretical estimate of this level from the known equations for the cross section for spontaneous scattering in nematic liquid crystals⁴ with allowance for the angular aperture of the measurement system, $\theta_p \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$ rad, is (in the single-constant approximation)




FIG. 3.

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi \Delta n^2 (n_{\parallel} + n_{\perp})^2 k_B T L}{8 \lambda^2 K} \frac{(\theta_p / \Delta n)^2}{\int_0^{\theta_p} \frac{d\xi}{1 + \xi}} \approx 0,19 \theta_p^2 \approx 4,2 \times 10^{-3}. \quad (3)$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T^0 is the absolute temperature (~ 300 K). It can also be seen from (3) that the theoretical results agree well with the experimental results.

We turn now to the measurements of the angular spectrum of the stimulated-scattering signal and the pump signal. It should be noted that the longitudinal and transverse dimensions of the interaction region are comparable in our experiments, so that the geometry allows not only collinear stimulated scattering but also stimulated scattering through quite large angles (on the order of 0.5 rad). In our previous study⁵ of stimulated scattering in a homeotropic cell, the noncollinear stimulated scattering was discriminated against by a nonlinearity mechanism involving the sharp increase in the grating wave vector \mathbf{q} upon a deviation from exactly collinear scattering. In our case, $|q|$ is on the order of $2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, i.e., extremely large even for collinear scattering, so that the nonlinearity mechanism discriminates against the stimulated scattering only at angles $\gtrsim 0.2$ rad. We therefore have every reason to believe that the angular spectrum of the stimulated scattering is much larger than the angular divergence of the pump. To experimentally test this point, we used single-mode light with a divergence (after L_1) $FWHM \simeq 5 \times 10^{-2}$ rad. The experimental width of the angular spectrum of the stimulated-scattering signal in this case was found to exceed the angular aperture of the measurement system ($\simeq 0.2$ rad), and the angular spectrum was found to have an obvious speckle, not reproducible in different cases. This result was to be expected for time-varying stimulated scattering (the pulse length is much shorter than the scale time over which spontaneous fluctuations lose their phase coherence).

In summary, we have experimentally detected a time-varying stimulated scattering with an extremely short interaction length, $L = 70 \mu\text{m}$, and a quite moderate pump energy density, $\int P_L dt \simeq 100\text{--}300 \text{ J/cm}^2$. The steady-state gain estimated from the experimental data is also extremely large, $G \simeq 5 \times 10^3 \text{ cm/MW}$, at an orientational viscosity $\eta \simeq 1 \text{ P}$ and $n_e^2 - n_o^2 = 0.65$.

We wish to thank L. A. Beresnev, E. I. Kats, and N. V. Tabiryan for useful discussions.

¹B. Ya. Zel'dovich and N. V. Tabiryan, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **30**, 510 (1979) [JETP Lett. **30**, 478 (1979)].

²N. V. Tabiryan and B. Ya. Zel'dovich, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. **62**, 237 (1981).

³V. S. Starunov and I. L. Fabelinskii, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **98**, 441 (1969) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **12**, 463 (1970)].

⁴P. G. de Gennes, The Physics of Liquid Crystals, Oxford Univ. Press, 1974 (Russ. transl. Mir, Moscow, 1977).

⁵B. Ya. Zel'dovich, N. F. Pilipetskiĭ, S. K. Merzlikin, A. V. Sukhov, and N. V. Tabiryan, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **273**, 1116 (1983) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **28**, 1038 (1983)].

Translated by Dave Parsons