Generation of higher harmonics of an intense laser beam in a plasma

M. B. Isichenko and V. V. Yan'kov I. V. Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, Moscow

(Submitted 2 December 1982) Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 37, No. 7, 297-298 (5 April 1983)

The generation of higher harmonics of a pump beam by a mechanism involving a breaking of an electron wave is analyzed. The emission intensity is found to depend on the harmonic index in a power-law fashion, in agreement with experiment.

PACS numbers: 52.25.Ps, 52.40.Db

Experiments on plasma heating by the beams from CO₂ lasers with power densities of 10^{14} -10¹⁵ W/cm² have revealed dozens of harmonics, 1-3 up to the 46th (Ref. 3). Theory for harmonic generation in the case of a slight nonlinearity has been derived quite thoroughly,^{4,5} but the predicted emission intensities fall off exponentially with the harmonic index n, so that vanishingly low intensities are predicted at $n \approx 10-50$. We must therefore turn to the case of a finite nonlinearity. In this case the higher harmonics may be generated in a variety of discontinuous events, the simplest of which involves a breaking of an electron wave. The emission intensity depends on the harmonic index in a power-law fashion, with the exponent being determined entirely by the nature of the particular discontinuity. A well-known example is the transition radiation of a charged particle as it crosses a discontinuity in the dielectric function; this type of radiation falls off with the frequency as ω^{-4} (Ref. 6). In this letter we examine a more intense collective emission.

As a first example we consider the emission which occurs at the time of the breaking of a nonrelativistic electron wave in a homogeneous plasma. This is a quadrupole emission, since the net momentum transferred to ions in a homogeneous plasma is zero.⁷ This breaking can occur at $\tilde{v} \gtrsim a\omega_0$, where \tilde{v} is the velocity of the electrons caused by the pump beam, a is the scale dimension of the wave inhomogeneity at the plasma boundary, and ω_0 is the pump frequency. The motion of electrons near the breaking point may be treated in a zeroth approximation as free motion: $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v}t$. Expanding r in a series in powers of v, and noting that the first term vanishes at the time of the breaking, we find that a disk-shaped singularity arises at the breaking. The region in which $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{v})$ becomes multivalued is described in cylindrical coordinates (r,z) by the following inequality after a transformation to principal axes:

$$(r/R)^2 + (z/a)^{2/3} \le t/t_0, \ 0 < t \le t_0 \equiv a/\widetilde{v},$$

where R is the scale transverse dimension of the current, and the time t is reckoned from the beginning of the breaking. At the breaking point the electron density becomes infinite in accordance with

$$n_{\rho} \cong n_0 |t/t_0|^{-1}$$
.

.

In the next approximation in $(\omega_{pe}t_0)^2$ the field produced by the electrons of this

region, $E \simeq 2\pi e n_0 a (t/t_0)^{1/2}$, causes the plasma electrons to radiate. We have the following equation for the change in the quadrupole moment of the electrons due to the breaking:

$$\widetilde{D}(t) \cong e n_0 R^4 \, \omega_{pe}^2 \, \widetilde{\upsilon} \left(t/t_0 \right)^{3/2} \, \theta \left(t \right) \, .$$

Assuming that this singularity arises during each period of the laser pump beam, and expanding the resulting function in a Fourier series, we find the intensity of the emission from the plasma at the nth harmonic of the laser frequency:

$$W_n \simeq \frac{m n_0 v^5}{c^5} \frac{R^8 \omega_{pe}^6}{a^3 \omega_0^3} \frac{1}{n^5}, \quad n \gg \frac{1}{2\pi \omega_0 t_0}$$

More intense dipole emission can occur in a collision of a broken electron wave with a perturbation of the ion density n_i . The change in the dipole moment of the electrons can be calculated from⁷

$$\mathbf{d}(t) = \left(\frac{e^2}{m}\right) \int \phi_e \nabla n_i d^3 r_i$$

where ϕ_e is the potential produced by the electrons. We substitute in for n_i the perturbation which arises during supersonic Langmuir collapse.⁸ To avoid having to deal with the evolution of the sound after the collapse, we assume that the sound is strongly damped. The function $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ is then a function of even parity, $\int n_i d^3 r = 0$; i.e., from the standpoint of long-wave perturbations the density n_i is similar to the second derivative of a δ function. We assume that the electron wave is one-dimensional, and we pursue the calculations to an accuracy within a coefficient. The perturbation of the electron density, $n_e = (vt - z)^{-1/2} \theta (vt - z)$, give rise to a field with potential $\phi_e = (vt - z)^{3/2} \theta (vt - z)$. Using $n_i = \delta''(z)$, we find $\ddot{d}(t) = t^{-3/2} \theta(t)$, which in turn gives us

 $W_n \propto n$.

This expression is valid for $1 \le n \le v/(L\omega_0)$, where L is the dimension of the collapsed caviton. At $n \ge v/(L\omega_0)$, the intensity falls off exponentially.

These two examples do not exhaust the possible cases of harmonic emission when there is a finite nonlinearity. Some other examples and also a breaking in the kinetics will be taken up in a more detailed paper. Breaking of an electron wave may occur in situations other than a laser corona, and the resulting emission will play the same role, in the case of a finite nonlinearity, as that played by the coalescence of Langmuir waves into an electromagnetic wave in the case of a slight nonlinearity.

N. H. Burnet, H. A. Baldis, M. C. Richardson, and G. D. Enright, Appl. Phys. Lett. 31, 172 (1977).

²R. L. Carman, D. W. Forslund, and J. M. Kindel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 29 (1981).

³R. L. Carman, C. K. Rhodes, and R. F. Benjamin, Phys. Rev. A 24, 2649 (1981).

⁴V. P. Silin, Parametricheskoe vozdeľstvie izlucheniya bol'shoľ moshchnosti na plazmu (Parametric Effects of Intense Radiation on Plasmas), Nauka, Moscow, 1973.

⁵A. V. Vinogradov and V. V. Pustovalov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 63, 940 (1972).

Vladimirskii and V. P. Silin, Fiz. Plazmy 6, 354 (1980) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. 6, 196 (1980)].

⁶V. L. Ginzburg and V. N. Tsytovich, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **126**, 553 (1978) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **21**, 978 (1978)].
⁷V. V. Yan'kov, Fiz. Plazmy **8**, 86 (1982) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. **8**, 48 (1982)].
⁸L. M. Degtyarev, V. E. Zakharov, and L. I. Rudakov, Fiz. Plazmy **2**, 438 (1976) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. **2**, 240 (1976)].

Translated by Dave Parsons Edited by S. J. Amoretty