Production of gauge bosons in a conformally plane space

B. L. Spokojnyj

L. D. Landau Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academy of Sciences of the USSR

(Submitted 5 October 1981)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34, No. 10, 553-555 (20 November 1981)

The density of gauge bosons produced in a conformally plane space is calculated. The dependence of renormalized charges on the coordinates is discussed.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Np, 11.10.Gh

Our universe is described by the Friedmann model to a high degree of accuracy. Studies which analyze the cosmological isotropic models with allowance for the one-loop, quantum-gravitational effects that have experimentally verifiable consequences have recently been published.^{1,2} It would therefore be desirable to study in greater

detail the quantum fields in a conformally plane space. We shall analyze the region of greatest interest $|R_{iklm}R^{iklm}|\gg m^4$, in which the rest mass m of particles can be ignored in first approximation. We shall assume that the fields obey the conformally invariant equations as $m\to 0$. It has been thought for some time that massless particles cannot be produced in a conformally plane world. If, however, the particles interact with each other, then the field equations can be considered effectively conformally noninvariant due to radiative corrections, and particle production is possible.³⁻⁵

We shall analyze the interaction of quantum spinor, scalar, and massless vector fields in an isotropic space with a metric

$$g_{jk} = a^2(x) \eta_{jk} , \qquad (1)$$

where η_{ik} is the metric of the Minkowski space. Below we shall assume that the metric (1) does not have singularities and analyze the space-time in a classical manner.

We shall transform conformally the metric (1) $g_{ik} \rightarrow a^{-2} g_{ik}$, and the spinor and scalar fields $\psi \rightarrow a^{3/2} \psi, \phi \rightarrow a \phi$.

The renormalized field Lagrangian therefore is given by

$$L = -\frac{1}{4g_R^2(x)} \sum_{i} (F_{\mu\nu}^i(x))^2 - j_{\mu}(x) A^{\mu}(x) + ..., \qquad (2)$$

where the dots represent the terms containing the spinor and scalar fields but not A_{μ} , j_{μ} is the current of these fields, A_{μ} is the renormalized vector field, and $g^2R^{(x)}\sim g^2-\beta(g^2)\ln[a^2(x)/a^2(x_0)]$, where $\beta(g^2)$ is the standard Gell-Mann-Low function in Minkowski space. We have replaced the normalization momentum $\mu\rightarrow\mu a(x)$, which follows from Refs. 3 and 5. Hence we obtain $\partial g_R^2/\partial \ln a^2=-\beta(g_R^2)$. We ignore the nonlocal terms in (2) and assume that the condition $|R_{iklm}R^{iiklm}|\gg m^4$ is satisfied.

We shall represent the Lagrangian (2) in the form $L = L_0 + L_{\rm int}$, where

$$L_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa F_{\mu\nu}^{i} F^{i\mu\nu} + \dots, \tag{3}$$

 $\kappa(x) = [\beta(g^2)/g^2] \ln[a(x)/x_0]$ is the effective coupling constant. We assume that

$$|\kappa| << 1$$
. (4)

The relation (3) is written in the first order in κ .

Performing calculations based on the perturbation theory, we obtain for the density of the produced gauge bosons

$$n = \frac{\beta^2 (g^2) K}{8\pi g^4} \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \Theta (q^2) (q^2)^2 |\sigma(q)|^2, \qquad (5)$$

where $\sigma(x) = \ln[a(x)/a(x_0)]$, $\sigma(q) = \int \sigma(x)e^{iqx}d^4x$, and k is the number of particle species; for SU(N) $K = N^2 - 1$.

The multiplier $\Theta(g^2)$ in (5) generally prevents transformation of this expression

into an integral in d^4x . Nonetheless, if the condition $q^2 \ge 0$ is satisfied for all $\sigma(q) \ne 0$, then n can be represented as $n = \int W(x^i)d^4x$, where

$$W(x^{i}) = \frac{\beta^{2} (g^{2})K}{8 \pi g^{4}} (\Box \sigma)^{2}.$$
 (6)

Here $W(x^i)$ is the local probability for the production of a particle. $W(x^i)$ in (6) is a generalization of the corresponding value in Ref. 5, where it was determined for the special case of a weak field and for the value of σ which depends solely on time.

Adjusting Lagrangian (2) and ignoring the currents of the scalar and spinor fields, we obtain

$$D^{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{g_{R}^{2}(x)}F_{\mu\nu}^{i}(x)\right) = 0, \tag{7}$$

or in the linear (in $F_{\mu\nu}^{\ i}$) approximation,

$$(1 + 2\kappa) \partial^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{i} + 2\partial^{\nu} \kappa F_{\mu\nu}^{i} = 0.$$
 (8)

Equation (8) generalizes the equation for propagation of the gauge boson, which was derived by Dolgov and Spokoĭnyĭ⁵ for the case of the non-Abelian gauge group.

We must mention an important corollary of Eq. (2). The renormalized charge is a function of the physical momentum μ . In the conformal transformation of the metric (1) which was analyzed above, the physical momentum μ is converted to the momentum $\mu a(x^i)$ in the planar space-time, which depends on the coordinates. This leads to an effective dependence of the renormalized charge on the x^i coordinates, a fact which may have curious consequences. Linde and Weinberg showed (see, for example, Ref. 6) that there is spontaneous symmetry breaking in the Higgs model if $\lambda > 3e^4/32\pi^2$ (in the symbols of Ref. 6), and if $\lambda < 3e^4/32\pi^2$ the quantum corrections lead to a dynamic restoration of the symmetry. If $3e^4/32\pi\lambda \approx 1$, then, because of the indicated time dependence of the charges, a phase transition may occur at a certain moment of time in the cosmological problems. A thorough study of this phenomenon would be in order.

I express my deep gratitude to A. A. Starobinskiĭ for many useful discussions. I am also deeply grateful to I. M. Khalatnikov for interest in this work, and to A. D. Dolgov and Ya. I. Kogan for useful discussions of the results.

Translated by S. J. Amoretty

Edited by Robert T. Beyer

¹A. A. Starobinskii, Phys.Lett. **91B**, 99 (1980).

²A. A. Starobinskii, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30, 719 (1979) [JETP Lett. 30, 682B (1979)].

³N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Phys. Rev. D 22, 322 (1980).

⁴A. D. Dolgov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Phys. 32, 673 (1980) [JETP Lett. 32, 660 (1980)].

⁵A. D. Dolgov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **81**, 417 (1981) [Sov. Phys.—JETP **54**, No. 2 (1981)]; B. L. Spokoĭnyĭ, Phys. Lett. A, to be published.

⁶A. D. Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. 42, 389 (1979).