## Triton binding energy

## D. A. Kirzhnits and N. Zh. Takibaev

P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, USSR Academy of Sciences (Submitted 28 November 1977)

Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 27, No. 1, 73-76 (5 January 1978)

A simple formula is derived for the triton binding energy and yields an estimate  $\approx 8$  MeV (as against the experimental 8.5 MeV).

PACS numbers: 21.10.Dr, 24.10.Dp, 25.10.+s, 27.10.+h

In our recent papers<sup>[1]</sup> we have proposed a method of solving the problem of three and more bodies, based on a description of the evolution of the system with changing coupling constant g (gV is the interparticle-interaction potential). In these papers we obtained a solution of the simplest three-body problem—elastic scattering of a neutron (n) by a deuteron (d) in the quartet (spin 3/2) state, and also for the higher orbital momenta of the doublet (spin 1/2) state. We present below the first results pertaining to the doublet s state—calculation of the triton binding energy  $|E_s|$ .

1. The method is based on the equations for the matrix elements of the potential

$$V'_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\sigma} V_{\mu\sigma} V_{\sigma\nu} \left[ \left( E_{\mu} - E_{\sigma} - i\epsilon \right)^{-1} + \left( E_{\nu} - E_{\sigma} + i\epsilon \right)^{-1} \right] . \tag{1}$$

The matrix elements diagonal in the energy determine in the discrete spectrum the energy of the bound states

$$E_{\mu}^{\prime} = V_{\mu\mu} , \qquad (2)$$

while in the continuous spectrum they determine the scattering phase shift  $\delta$ . In particular, for elastic nd scattering we have

$$\delta'(k) = -\frac{mk}{3\pi} V_{nd, nd}(k, k), \tag{3}$$

where m is the nucleon mass, k is the momentum in the c.m.s., the oribital and spin angular momentum indices have been omitted, and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to g.

The solution of the two-nucleon problem using the Yamaguchi split potential

$$-4\pi g \gamma^3 / [m(k_1^2 + \gamma^2)(k_2^2 + \gamma^2)]$$

yields for the deuteron binding energy

ields for the deuteron binding energy
$$|E_d| = \kappa^2/m = (g^{1/2} - 1)^2 \gamma^2/m = 2.23 \text{ MeV}$$

where  $\gamma^{-1}=0.69$  F is the effective radius of the forces,  $\kappa^{-1}=4.34$  F is the radius of the deuteron, and the physical value of the coupling constant is

$$g = (1 + \kappa/\gamma)^2. \tag{5}$$

The derivation of these formulas is contained in<sup>[1]</sup>.

2. The smallness of the quantity  $\kappa^2/\gamma^2 = 0.026$  is a reflection of the "shallowness" of the deuteron level. The same holds also for the triton, where, as will be shown subsequently, the role of  $\kappa^2 = (-mE_d)$  is played by the quantity

$$X^{2} = -\frac{4m}{3} (E_{t} - E_{\alpha}), \tag{6}$$

which amounts to one-tenth of  $\gamma^2$ . This enables us to use the pole approximation to solve the system (1), with separation of the strongest singularity in the quantity  $(k+iX)^{-1}$ .

In the matrix element  $V_{nd,nd}$ , this singularity is obtained directly from the condition that the nd-scattering amplitude has a simple pole at k=iX, corresponding to the triton intermediate state. When (3) is taken into account, this yields

$$V_{nd,nd}(k,k) = -\frac{3\pi X^{2}}{m}(k^{2} + X^{2})^{-1} + \dots$$
 (7)

In general, as seen from (1), the matrix element  $V_{\mu\nu}$ , whose left (right) index is nd, contains the pole factor  $(k+iX)^{-1}$  [or respectively  $(k-iX)^{-1}$ ]. In particular, for the transition into the triton state we have

$$V_{nd,t}(k) = \alpha(k+iX)^{-1} + ...,$$
 (8)

where the constant  $\alpha$  will be determined below.

3. We shall henceforth emit the contribution of the three-nucleon intermediate

states in (1), since additional integration with respect to the momenta softens the pole singularity. The triton binding energy is obtained from Eqs. (1), (2), and (8)

$$E_t'' = V_{tt}'' = -\frac{8m}{3} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^2 + X^2} |V_{t, nd}(k)|^2 = -\frac{m}{3\pi X} |\alpha|^2.$$
 (9)

On the other hand, Eqs. (1) yield

$$V_{nd,nd}(k,k) = \frac{8m}{3} [|V_{nd,t}(k)||^2/(k^2 + X^2) + \chi d^3p |V_{nd,nd}(k,p)|^2/(k^2 - p^2)].$$

From this we obtain with the aid of (7) and (8)  $|\alpha|^2 = (9\pi/2m^2)X(X')^2$ , and substitution of this relation in (9), with (4) and (6) taken into account, yields the sought equation for X(g)

$$XX'' = \frac{2m}{3}E_d'' = -\gamma^2/(3g^{3/2}). \tag{10}$$

We solve this equation by using the smallness of the ratio  $\kappa/\gamma$ , i.e., according to (5), the proximity of the coupling constant g to unity. In addition, it was assumed from the very outset that  $X^2 \leqslant \gamma^2$ . Such a solution of Eq. (10) does in fact exist

$$X = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \gamma(g - 1) \left[ \ln \left( C / (g - 1) \right) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{11}$$

where C is an arbitrary constant, which can be replaced by unity with logarithmic accuracy. With the same accuracy, using (4)-(6), we obtain the final expression for the triton binding energy

$$E_t / E_d = \ln(\gamma^2 / \kappa^2) - 3.68,$$
 (12)

from which follows in fact that numerical estimate given in the abstract.

4. Expression (11), when understood literally, yields the same triton-production threshold g=1 as for the neutron [see (4)]. It is known, however, that a triton is produced at lower values of g, albeit close to unity. The point is that Eq. (1) no longer holds in the immediate vicinity of the point g=1, for there is an infinite set of levels that condense at this point (the Efimov effect).<sup>[2]</sup>

In fact, the solution (11) constitutes more readily the value of X averaged over all the levels. At the physical value of g, when there is only one level, this mean value coincides with the true value of X, and as  $g \rightarrow 1$  it should actually vanish because of the overwhelming weight of the levels that condense towards zero. With this stipulation, the solution (11), can be used at the point g=1 itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D.A. Kirzhnits and N. Zh. Takibaev, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 359 (1976) [JETP Lett. 23, 323 (1976)]; Yad. Fiz. 25, 700 (1977) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 25, 370 (1977)].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>V.N. Efimov, Yad. Fiz. 12, 1080 (1970) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 12, 589 (1971)].