- [3] Steiner, Arens, Betz, Chamberlain, Deeterle, Grannis, Hansroul, Schultz, Shapiro, van Rossum, and Weldon. Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 9, 95 (1964).
- [4] Borghini, Odehnal, Roubeau, Rytyr, Coignet, Dick, and di Lella. Proc. of the 1964 Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Dubna, USSR.
- [5] Abragam, Borghini, Catillon, Cousthan, Roubeau, and Thirion, Phys. Lett. 2, 310 (1962).
- [6] Dragicescu, Lushchikov, Nikolenko, Taran, and Shapiro, Phys. Lett. 12, 334 (1964).
- [7] Aaron, Amado, and Yam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 574 (1964).
- [8] A. Abragam and M. Borghini, Prog. in Low Temp. Physics $\frac{4}{9}$, 384 (1964).
- [9] Dragicescu, Dragicescu, Lushchikov, Neganov, Parfenov, and Taran, Preprint, JINR, R-1626, Dubna, 1964.
- [10] V. I. Lushchikov and Yu. V. Taran, Preprint JINR R-1868, Dubna, 1964.
- [11] A. Abragam and M. Chapellier. Phys Lett. II, 205 (1964).
- [12] C. A. Rebka. National Science Foundation Progress Report NSFG-22319 (1963).

RENORMALIZATION OF CONSERVED CURRENTS BY SYMMETRY BREAKING

A. M. Polyakov

Moscow Physico-technical Institute Submitted 3 March 1965

A study of the influence of octet asymmetry on the strange current of the SU(3) 8-multiplet has demonstrated the renormalizability of the corresponding vector constant^[1].

The strange current and the octet breakdown are vectors from the point of view of the V-isogroup. 1) We therefore consider the change in the V-spin current when V-symmetry is broken.

The correction to the current of the i-th component of the V-spin, necessitated by the isovector disturbance along the third axis, can be written in the form

$$a_1 \delta_{i3} + a_2 [v_i v_3] + a_3 \{v_i v_3\}$$
 (1)

(here V_i and V_3 are V-spin matrices, [] the commutator, and {} the anticommutator; we have written out only the isotopic structure of the correction). When i=3, current is conserved and the corresponding vector constant (charge) does not change when symmetry is broken. Consequently $a_1=a_3=0$. Furthermore, the isotopic part of the correction to the charge should be of even parity in the charge (see also [1]). This means that upon transposition of the matrices V_i and V_3 expression (1) should become transposed without change in sign. Therefore $a_2=0$, i.e., there is no correction at all.

This fact offers a simple proof of the theorem of Ademollo and Gatto^[1], a proof suitable for any supermultiplet. To take into account the second order in octet breakdown, we consider the tensor corrections, which take the form (the breakdown is directed along the k and ℓ axes):

$$a_{1}^{\epsilon}_{ik\ell} + a_{2}^{\delta}_{ik}V_{\ell} + a_{3}^{\delta}_{k\ell}V_{i} + a_{4}^{\delta}_{i\ell}V_{k} + a_{5}^{\{[V_{i}V_{k}]V_{\ell}\}} + a_{6}^{\{[V_{i}V_{\ell}]V_{\ell}\}} + a_{6}^{\{[V_{i}V_{\ell}]V_{\ell}\}} + a_{7}^{\{\{V_{i}V_{k}\}V_{\ell}\}}$$
(2)

there are no other terms, by virtue of the identity

$$\{v_{i}[v_{k}v_{\ell}]\} + \{v_{\ell}[v_{i}v_{k}]\} + \{v_{k}[v_{\ell}v_{i}]\} \approx 2iv^{2}\epsilon_{ik\ell}$$
(3)

Let $i = k = \ell = 3$. Then there is no correction, i.e., $a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0$ and $a_7 = 0$. For $i \neq k = \ell = 3$ we are left with the terms

$$A_{1}V_{1} + A_{2}\{[V_{1}V_{3}]V_{3}\}$$
 (4)

(A_1 and A_2 are constants). As before, charge parity calls for transposition of expression (4) without change in sign upon transposition of the matrix V_1 . Therefore $A_2 = 0$.

For the strange current $V_+ \equiv V_1^- + iV_2^-$ the third-order correction takes the form BV₊, where B is a constant. If we apply this relation, say, to the V-triplet (p, Σ_V^0, Ξ^-) , where $\Sigma_V^0 = -(\sqrt{3}/2)\Lambda^0 - (1/2)\Sigma^0$, we obtain for the vector constants the formula

$$-\sqrt{3} (g_{p\Lambda} + g_{\Lambda\Sigma}^{-}) = g_{p=0} + g_{\Sigma^{0}}^{-}$$
 (5)

Analogously, for the V-quadruplet (Δ^{++} , Σ_{*}^{+} , Ξ_{*}^{0} , Ω^{-}) we have

$$g_{\Delta^{++}\Sigma_{+}^{+}} = -(1/2)\sqrt{3} g_{\Sigma_{+}^{+}\Xi_{+}^{0}} = g_{\Xi_{+}^{0}\Omega^{-}}$$
 (6)

The remaining V-multiplets which are contained in the decuplet yield relations that duplicate (6).

The author thanks I. Yu. Kobzarev, A. A. Migdal, and K. A. Ter-Martirosyan for useful discussions. It was learned after the completion of the work that formula (5) was derived by Zakharov and Kobzarev [3] using SU(3) technique.

- [1] M. Ademollo and R. Gatt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 264 (1964).
- [2] L. B. Okun', ITEF Preprint No. 287, 1964.
- [3] V. I. Zakharov and I. Yu. Kobzarev, ITEF Preprint No. 298, 1965.
- 1) Concerning the V-spin concept, see, for example, the review [2].

TRANSFORMATION PROPERTIES OF THE WEAK INTERACTION LAGRANGIAN AND OF THE S AMPLITUDE OF HADRON DECAYS OF HYPERONS IN SU(6) SYMMETRY

S. G. Matinyan

Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, Georgian S.S.R. Submitted 4 March 1965

Several authors [1-3] recently derived, on the basis of SU(6) symmetry, relations between the amplitudes of the S-waves of hyperon hadron decays. These relations are in satisfactory agreement with experiment. Of particular importance is the deductions that there is no S-wave in $\Sigma^+ \to n\pi^+$ decay, a deduction related in [2] to the absence of decay of a strange quark with emission of a π^+ meson¹.

It is possible to apply with sufficient justification to the S-wave amplitudes of hadron decays an SU(6) symmetry broken only by weak interaction. It is all the more important that the relations obtained in [1-3] for the S-wave, using CP invariance, are apparently "stable" against the violation of SU(6) symmetry by a moderately strong interaction which is a linear combination of the representations 38, 189, and 405 (see [4]).