

- [1] Dmitrenko, Yanson, and Svistunov, JETP Letters 2, 17 (1965), transl. p. 10.
- [2] B. D. Josephson, Phys. Lett. 1, 251 (1962); Revs. Mod. Phys. 36, 221 (1964).
- [3] Eck, Scalapino, and Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 15 (1964).
- [4] R. A. Ferrell and R. E. Prange, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 479 (1963).
- [5] J. C. Swihart, J. Appl. Phys. 32, 461 (1961).
- [6] J. M. Rowell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 200 (1963).
- [7] Yanson, Svistunov, and Dmitrenko, JETP 47, 2091 (1964), Soviet Phys. JETP 20, 1404 (1965).
- [8] M. D. Fiske, Revs. Mod. Phys. 36, 221 (1964).

MONOTONICITY OF THE DECAY OF UNSTABLE PARTICLES CORRESPONDING TO AN n-ORDER POLE

L. A. Khalfin

Leningrad Division, Steklov Mathematics Institute, USSR Academy of Sciences

Submitted 12 June 1965

It was pointed out in a recent paper [1] that the energy distribution of unstable particles $\omega(E)$ may possibly correspond not to a first-order pole, as is usually assumed, but to a pole of order n :

$$\omega_n(E) = C_n [(E - E_0)^2 + (\Gamma^2/4)]^n \quad (1)$$

In order for these values of $\omega_n(E)$ actually to correspond to the energy distribution of the unstable particle, it is necessary, of course [2], that the decay of such a particle be monotonic ¹⁾, i.e.,

$$[dL_n(t)]/dt \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \quad (2)$$

where $L_n(t) = |p_n(t)|^2$ is the law of decay of the unstable particle with energy distribution (1). In [2] we presented a complete description of all the energy distributions $\omega(E)$ of the unstable particles, i.e., all the $\omega(E)$ for which the monotonicity condition is satisfied. We can prove, by verifying the necessary and sufficient conditions indicated in [2], that the $\omega_n(E)$ belong to the class of energy distributions of physical systems.

It is simpler, however, to prove this directly. It was shown in [1] that ²⁾

$$p_n(t) = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_0 t - \frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right\} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-1} \frac{(n+l-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} \quad (3)$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dL_n(t)}{dt} = & -\frac{\Gamma}{\hbar} \exp\left\{-\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right\} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-k-1} \frac{(n+k-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!k!(2n-2)!} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-1} \frac{(n+l-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-2} \frac{(n-l-1)(n+l-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Inasmuch as it is obvious that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-k-1} \frac{(n+k-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!k!(2n-2)!} \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \quad (5)$$

to prove (2) it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-1} \frac{(n+l-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} \geq 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-2} \frac{(n-l-1)(n+l-1)(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} \quad (6)$$

For the proof, we make in the right side of (6) the substitution $l' = l + 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-2} \frac{(n-l-1)(n+l-1)(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} = \\ & = \sum_{l'=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l'-1} \frac{l'}{n+l'-1} \frac{(n+l'-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l'-1)!l'!(2n-2)!} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus, (6) is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(2n-2)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma t}{\hbar}\right)^{n-l-1} \frac{(n+l-1)!(n-1)!}{(n-l-1)!l!(2n-2)!} \left[1 - \frac{2l}{n+l-1}\right] \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

But

$$1 - \frac{2l}{n+l-1} = \frac{n-l-1}{n+l-1} \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

since $l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. The inequality (8) is therefore true, thus proving (6) and consequently also (2).

The energy distribution corresponding to a pole of order n is thus actually acceptable, for arbitrary n , as the energy distribution of an unstable particle, inasmuch as the decay law is monotonic.

I am grateful to L. M. Khalфина for help.

- [1] M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, Phys. Rev. 136, B1472 (1964).
 [2] L. A. Khalfin, Quantum Theory of the Decay of Physical Systems. Dissertation, Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, 1960.

- 1) This condition is not mentioned in [1].
 2) Only the principal term of the decay law is written out in (3).