We consider it our pleasant duty to express deep gratitude to L. F. Vereshchagin and E. N. Yakovlev for support and interest in the work, V. V. Sklyarevskii, N. N. Filippov, and K. P. Aleshin for help in constructing the Mossbauer spectrometer, N. N. Delyagin for a useful discussion of the results, and also Yu. T. Babotin and V. A. Guzov for participating in the experiments.

- [1] Pound, Benedek, and Drever, Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 405 (1961).
- [2] M. Nicol and G. Jura, Science 141, 1035 (1963).
- [3] Pipkorn, Edge, Debrunner, De Pasquali, Drickamer, and Frauenfelder, Phys. Rev. <u>135</u>, A1604 (1964).
- [4] Stone, Malcolm, Rasmussen, and Jura, University of California Lawrence Radiation Lab., Rept. No. 10706, 29-33, 1963.
- [5] R. V. Hanks, Phys. Rev. 124, 1319 (1961).
- [6] J. Dlouha, Czechosl. Fiz. zh. <u>B14</u>, 570 (1964).
- [7] S. S. Kabalkin and Z. V. Troitskaya, DAN SSSR <u>151</u>, 1068 (1963), Soviet Phys. Doklady <u>8</u>, 800 (1964).
- [8] Modern Super High Pressure Techniques (Russ. Transl.), E. G. Ponyatovskii, ed., 1964.
- [9] Aleshin, Lukashevich, Samilov, Sklyarevskii, Stepanov, and Filippov, PTE No. 4, 43-49 (1964).
- [10] V. G. Shapiro and V. S. Shpinel', JETP 46, 1960 (1964), Soviet Phys. JETP 19, 1321 (1964).
- [11] V. I. Gol'danskii, Effekt Messbauera i ego primeneniya v khimii (Mossbauer Effect and Its Applications in Chemistry), Moscow, 1963.
- [12] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, Wiley, 1953.

UNITARY SYMMETRY AND THE POINCARE GROUP

V. I. Fushchich

Submitted 3 June 1965

The question of combining the Poincare group P with the group of internal symmetries S (simple Lie group) is now under discussion in many papers [1-5]. It is necessary to clarify first whether this unification is not trivial. The most convincing result in this direction was obtained by Michel [3]. However, even this result was obtained under rather stringent limitations on the group G, which is the combination of the groups P and S (it is assumed that each element $g \in G$ is of the form g = sp, $s \in S$, $p \in P$). However, as can be seen from [4,5] and other papers, the union of the two groups $G \supset PS$ contains elements which cannot be represented in the form sp. The Lie algebra of such a group always contains generators which belong neither to the algebra P nor S. It is therefore natural to clarify in this case the question of the triviality or nontriviality of the given union.

In the present note we obtain the conditions under which an algebra, containing in addition to the generators of the algebras P and S also additional generators, is a trivial union of P and S.

Let the generators of the algebra G be the generators of the algebras P and S, and also the generators of H^{\bullet} , and E^{\bullet}_{γ} , satisfying the conditions

$$[H_{\ell}^{\dagger}, H_{m}^{\dagger}] = 0$$
 $(\ell, m = 1, 2, ..., k),$ (1)

$$[E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}, E_{\nu}^{\dagger}] \neq 0$$
 $(\gamma, \nu = 1, 2, ..., r)$ (2)

In addition we assume that for an arbitrary γ we can indicate an l such that

$$[\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\dagger}] = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{t}, \quad [\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\dagger}] \neq 0$$
 (3)

The generators of the algebras P and S satisfy the conditions

$$[P_{\rho}, P_{\sigma}] = \lambda_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} P_{\tau}$$
 $(\tau, \rho, \sigma = 1, 2, ..., 10)$ (4)
 $[H_{i}, H_{j}] = 0$ $(i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$

$$[H_{i}, E_{\alpha}] = r_{i}(\alpha)E_{\alpha}$$

$$[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}]_{-} = \sum_{i} r_{i}(\alpha)H_{i} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\alpha \text{ over sim-}} [E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}]r_{i}(\alpha) = H_{i}$$
(5)

$$[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha+\beta} \qquad (\alpha \neq -\beta)$$

$$[H_{\downarrow}, P_{\alpha}] = 0 \qquad (6)$$

We shall prove that $[E_{\alpha}, P_{\rho}] = 0$, i.e., the union of G will be physically trivial and no mass formula can be obtained in any one of the following three cases:

1.
$$[H_1, H_1^*] = A_{11}^m H_m^*, [P_0, E_{\gamma}^*]_- = B_{0\gamma}^{\nu} E_{\nu}^*, [E_{\gamma}^*, E_{\gamma}]_- = 0$$
 (7)

Proof. Under the assumptions made regarding the group G

$$[E_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}] = a_{\alpha\alpha}^{\beta} E_{\beta} + B_{\alpha\alpha}^{j} H_{j} + C_{\alpha\alpha}^{\tau} P_{\tau} + d_{\alpha\alpha}^{\ell} H_{\ell}^{t} + f_{\alpha\alpha}^{\gamma} E_{\gamma}^{t}$$
(8)

Inasmuch as G, by definition, is a Lie group, the following Jacobi identity holds true

$$J(E_{\alpha}, P_{\rho}, H_{i}) = [[E_{\alpha}, P_{\rho}], H_{i}] + [[P_{\rho}, H_{i}], E_{\alpha}] + [[H_{i}, E_{\alpha}], P_{\rho}]$$

$$= a_{\alpha\rho}^{\beta}(r_{i}(\alpha) - r_{i}(\beta))E_{\beta} + d_{\alpha\rho}^{\ell}[H_{\ell}, H_{i}] + f_{\alpha\rho}^{\gamma}[E_{\gamma}, H_{i}]$$

$$+ r_{i}(\alpha)(b_{\alpha\rho}^{j}H_{j} + c_{\alpha\rho}^{\tau}P_{\tau} + d_{\alpha\rho}^{\ell}H_{\ell}^{i} + f_{\alpha\rho}^{\gamma}E_{\gamma}^{i}) = 0$$

$$(9)$$

From (9), taking into account the conditions (5) and (7), it follows that

$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}}, \qquad \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbf{j}} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{c}_{\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\tau} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{f}_{\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\gamma} = 0$$
 (10)

We consider further the following Jacobi identity

$$J(E_{\alpha}, P_{\rho}, E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}) = a_{\alpha\rho}^{\beta}[E_{\beta}, E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}] + b_{\alpha\rho}^{J}[H_{j}, E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}] + c_{\alpha\rho}^{\tau}[P_{\rho}E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}]$$

$$+ d_{\alpha\rho}^{\ell}[H_{\ell}^{\dagger}, E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}] + f_{\alpha\rho}^{\nu}[E_{\nu}^{\dagger}, E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}] = 0$$

$$(11)$$

Taking (3) and (10) into account, it follows from (11) that

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{O} \tag{12}$$

To prove that $a_{CO} = 0$, it is sufficient to use the Jacobi identity

$$J(P_{o}, P_{\sigma}, E_{\alpha}) \equiv 0 \tag{13}$$

and the properties of the constants $\lambda_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau}$ (see $^{\left[1\right]}).$

2.
$$[E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}, H_{1}] = D_{\gamma 1}^{\nu} E_{\nu}^{\dagger}, \quad [P_{\rho}, H_{\ell}^{\dagger}]_{-} = C_{\rho \ell}^{m} H_{m}^{\dagger}, \quad [H_{\ell}^{\dagger}, E_{\alpha}] = 0$$
 (14)

3. If there exists in G at least one generator of H_{I}^{\bullet} which commutes with the generators of P and S, then in this case, too, $[E_{\Omega}, P_{\Omega}] = 0$.

To prove these statements it is necessary to use in lieu of (11) the identity

$$J(E_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}, H_{l}) = 0$$
 (15)

In conclusion we note that if condition (6) is not satisfied for all i, then, as shown in [2], we can construct a nontrivial union G, the generators of which will be only P_{ρ} , H_{i} , and E_{α} .

- [1] Coester, Hamermesh, and McGlinn, Phys. Rev. 135, B451 (1964).
- [2] Ottson, Kihlberg, and Nilsson, Preprint, Gothenburg, 1964.
- [3] L. Michel, Phys. Rev. 137, B405 (1965).
- [4] Gursey, Pais, and Radicati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 239 (1964).
- [5] Kadyshevskii, Muradyan, Tavkhelidze, and Todorov, JINR Preprint D-1929, 1964.

POSSIBLE SUPERCONDUCTIVITY MECHANISM IN CRYSTALLINE FILMS

V. Z. Kresin and B. A. Tavger Moscow State Extension Pedagogical Institute Submitted 9 June 1965

New superconductivity mechanisms have been proposed in many recent papers [1,2]. We consider below one possibility of establishment of a superconducting state, due to the presence of different groups of electrons in a crystalline film. The interaction between the electrons of these groups leads, if certain conditions are satisfied (see below), to Cooper pairing. For a bulky crystal, the non-phonon superconductivity mechanism, due to interband interaction, was considered by Geilikman [2], who established a general criterion, which is