- [2] W. Pauli, Coll. "Nils Bohr and the Development of Physics" (Russ. Transl.) IIL, 1958.
- [3] K. Gotow and S. Okubo, Phys. Rev. 128, 1921 (1962).
- [4] See the review: T. D. Lee, Proceedings of Oxford Internat. Conf. on Elementary Particles, 1965.
- [5] S. M. Bilen'kii, L. I. Lapidus, and R. M. Ryndin, UFN <u>84</u>, 243 (1964), Soviet Phys. Uspekhi <u>7</u>, 721 (1965).

## MOTION OF VORTEX FILAMENTS IN SUPERCONDUCTING ALLOYS

V. P. Galaiko Khar'kov State University Submitted 15 December 1965 ZhETF Pis'ma 3, No. 3, 121-125, 1 February 1966

It is difficult to apply the ordinary hydrodynamic approach [1] to the motion of vortex filaments in superconductors of type II, owing to the presence of the lattice. In the state of local equilibrium, the condensate is characterized by a superconducting velocity  $\vec{v}_s$  and an electron density N. However, in view of the Coulomb interaction, the relaxation rate of N is so large that the only "hydrodynamic" quantity is  $\vec{v}_s$ . It is easy to obtain for  $\vec{v}_s$  the equation

$$\vec{\hat{v}}_s = e/m \ (\vec{E} - \nabla \varphi),$$

but this is a trivial consequence of Maxwell's equations, since by definition

$$\operatorname{curl} \overrightarrow{v}_{S} + (e/m)\overrightarrow{H} = 0$$

 $(\vec{v}_s = 1/2 \text{ m}(\nabla x - 2\vec{eA}), \text{ x is the phase of the ordering parameter, } \Delta = |\Delta| \exp(ix), \vec{H} = \text{curl } \vec{A}, \text{ and } \vec{h} = c = 1).$ 

In view of the field character of the vortex, being a line of singularities of  $v_s$  ~ 1/2mr [2]. for the solution of the problem it is in fact sufficient to find directly the response of the superconducting system to an external field, i.e., the current  $\vec{j} = \vec{j}(\vec{A})$  as a functional of  $\vec{A}$ . In the case of "dirty" alloys ( $\tau T_c \ll 1$ ), for physically reasonable frequencies  $\omega \tau \ll 1$ , this can be done by directly expanding  $\vec{j}$  in terms of the small parameter  $\omega t$ :

$$\vec{j} = \vec{j}_s \{\vec{v}_s\} + \vec{j}_n, \quad \vec{j}_n = \hat{\sigma} \{\vec{v}_s\}\vec{E},$$

where  $\vec{j}_s(\vec{v}_s)$  is the equilibrium superconducting current in the specified magnetic field  $\vec{A}$ . The phase x in  $\vec{v}_s$  is determined by the additional equation div  $\vec{j}_s = 0$ , which follows from the imaginary part of the equilibrium equation for the ordering parameter. The kernel of the conductivity operator  $\hat{\sigma}\{\vec{v}_s\}$  can be shown to have the form

$$(-i)d/d\omega \langle j_i, j_k \rangle |_{\omega \to \Omega}$$

where the correlator  $\langle j_i, j_k \rangle$  can also be calculated from the equilibrium state in the specified external field  $^{1)}$ . The relations presented constitute, together with Maxwell's equations and the continuity equation, a complete system that yields the vortex equation of motion.

To derive these equations, it is convenient to start from the general principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics (see, for example, [3]). The work performed on the system by the external current  $\vec{j}_{ext}$  per unit time

$$\dot{\mathbf{W}} = -\int d\mathbf{V} \, \vec{\mathbf{j}}_{ext} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}},$$

can be expressed in terms of the response of the system

$$\dot{\mathbf{W}} = (\partial/\partial \mathbf{t}) \int d\mathbf{v} \frac{\mathbf{H}^2}{8\pi} + \int d\mathbf{v} \left[ \vec{\mathbf{j}}_s \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}} + \vec{\mathbf{j}}_n \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}} \right],$$

from which it follows, by virtue of  $\vec{E} = (m/e)\vec{v}_s + \nabla \varphi$  and div  $\vec{j}_s \{\vec{v}_s\} = 0$ , that

$$\dot{\mathbf{w}} = (\partial/\partial \mathbf{t}) \int d\mathbf{v} \left[ \frac{H^2}{8\pi} + \mathbf{F} \{ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \} \right] + \int d\mathbf{v} \ \hat{\mathbf{\sigma}} \{ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \} \mathbf{E}^2 \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}} \int d\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{F} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}} \right)$$
(1)

According to this equation, the maximum work of the reversible transition into the nonequilibrium state is equal to

$$W_{\min} = \int dV \left[ \frac{H^2}{8\pi} + F\{\overrightarrow{v}_s\} \right] = -\frac{\Delta S}{T}$$
 (2)

( $\Delta S$  is the deviation of the entropy from the equilibrium value), whereas the loss due to the irreversible processes is represented in the form  $T\Delta \dot{S} \simeq \int dV \ \hat{\sigma}\{\vec{v}_S\}E^2$ . Calculation of the latter integral for a moving vortex yields

$$T\Delta \dot{S} = \int dt \, \eta v_{\rm L}^2, \tag{3}$$

where the integration is along a vortex line and  $\overset{\rightarrow}{v_L}$  is the velocity of the filament element.

Let us express the quantity  $W_{\min}$  (2) in terms of the parameters of a filament situated in an external field. For extremely hard superconductors  $(\kappa \gg 1)$  the field of the filament is small  $(\sim k/\kappa)$  in dimensionless variables [2]) and we can expand (2) in powers of this small quantity. Retaining only the terms containing the filament to second order of smallness, we obtain

$$W_{\min} = \int dV \left[ \frac{\vec{H} \vec{\delta H}}{4\pi} + \frac{m}{e} \vec{j}_s \vec{\delta v}_s \right] + \int dV \left[ \frac{(\vec{\delta H})^2}{8\pi} + \frac{m}{2e} \vec{\delta j}_s \vec{\delta v}_s \right],$$

where  $\vec{\delta H}$ ,  $\vec{\delta J_S}$ , and  $\vec{\delta v_S}$  are quantities describing the vortex. Integration of this equation by parts with the aid of the formula  $\vec{\delta H} = (-m/e)$  curl  $(\vec{\delta v_S})$  and Maxwell's equations leads, owing to the presence of the singularity in  $\vec{\delta v_S}$ , to the following result:

$$W_{\min} = \frac{1}{4e} \int d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{H} + \epsilon_{C} \int d\vec{l}$$
 (4)

 $(\epsilon_{\rm O} = \delta H_{\rm m}/8 e$  is the self energy of the vortex per unit length and  $\delta H_{\rm m}$  is the field at the center of the vortex). The second term obviously represents the "elastic" energy of the filament.

From the equations for the relaxation of the parameters of the partial equilibrium of the system (i.e., the coordinates  $\vec{r}(\phi, t)$  of the filament)

$$\dot{x}_{i} \equiv v_{Li} = \int dt' \gamma_{ik} \frac{\delta S}{\delta x_{k}'}$$

we get from (2), (3), and the Onsager principle of the symmetry of  $\gamma_{ik}^{2}$ :

$$\vec{v}_L = \frac{T}{n} \frac{\delta S}{\delta r} = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\delta W_{min}}{\delta r}$$

Therefore, varying (4) with respect to the filament element, we obtain ultimately

$$\overrightarrow{\eta v}_{L} = \left[\overrightarrow{J}_{T} \times \overrightarrow{\Phi}_{O}\right] + \epsilon_{O} \frac{\overrightarrow{n}}{R} \tag{5}$$

where  $\vec{j}_T \equiv \vec{j}_s$  is the external current,  $\Phi_0 = \pi/e$  the magnetic flux of the vortex,  $\vec{n}$  the principal normal to the vortex line, and R the radius of curvature of the filament. We note that in accord with the obtained expression, the effective mass of the filament naturally vanishes, in view of the approximation  $\omega\tau \ll 1$ . Owing to the nonlinearity of the functionals  $\vec{j}_s\{\vec{v}_s\}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}\{\hat{v}_s\}$ , the coefficients  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon_0$  are generally speaking dependent on the external current. Simple estimates show that the limits of applicability of (5) are given by the inequalities  $j_T \ll j_{cr}$  and  $R \gg \lambda/\kappa$  ( $\lambda$  = depth of penetration of the field).

Upon application of a constant external current  $\vec{j}_T$  on the mixed state in the superconductor, the average electric field intensity produced by the moving vortices is equal to  $\vec{E}_{av} = -\vec{v}_L \times \vec{B}$ , from which it follows, taking (5) into account, that  $\vec{E}_{av} = \rho \vec{j}_T$ . For the resistivity we have

 $\rho = \frac{\Phi_0^B}{\eta} = \frac{\pi B}{e \eta}$ 

and near T<sub>c</sub>, for fields that are not too strong,  $\rho = \rho_n B/H_{c2}$  [1].

The author considers it his pleasant duty to thank J. Bardeen for a stimulating influence on this work, and to I. M. Lifshitz for calling attention to the work of A. M. Kosevich [4] on the theory of dislocation motion, which is formally close to the problem considered here.

- [1] C. F. Hempstead and V. B. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>12</u>, 145 (1964); A. R. Strand, C. F. Hempstead, and V. B. Kim, ibid. <u>13</u>, 794 (1964); P. W. Anderson and V. B. Kim, Revs. Modern Phys. <u>36</u>, 39 (1964); J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>13</u>, 747 (1964); M. J. Stephen and J. Bardeen, ibid. 14, 112 (1965).
- [2] A. A. Abrikosov, JETP <u>32</u>, 1442 (1957), Soviet Phys. JETP <u>5</u>, 1174 (1957).
- [3] C. de Groot and P. Mazur, Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics (Russ. Trans.). Mir, 1964.
- [4] A. M. Kosevich, Teoriya dislokatsii (Dislocation Theory), Offset, Physico-tech. Inst. Ukr. Acad. Sci. Khar'kov, 1963.
- In the local approximation these calculations were carried out by the author under the direction of J. Bardeen. In particular, the value obtained for the viscosity coefficient of the moving vortex near  $T_c$  was  $\eta = \pi \sigma_n H_{c2}/e$ , where  $\sigma_n$  is the conductivity of the normal metal.
- The only antisymmetrical expression that need be considered,  $\gamma_{ik} \sim e_{ikl} v_{Ll}$ , leads to a force acting along the filament. The remaining expressions do not agree with the energy conservation law [1].