$$\frac{1}{\sigma^{II}} \frac{d\sigma^{II}}{d\cos\theta} \bigg|_{\theta \neq 0, \pi} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\rho^*}{2}\right) \frac{S\% \ln S}{\pi \sin\theta \sqrt{\gamma}},$$

where ρ' is a constant such that $\sigma^{II} > \text{const} \cdot \text{S}^{-\rho'}$.

The author is deeply grateful to Nguyen Van Hieu for suggesting the problem.

A. A. Logunov and Nguyen Van Hieu, JINR Preprint E-3656, Dubna, 1968.

M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. 7, 404 (1959). [2]

[3]

A. Martin, Nuovo Cimento 42A, 930 (1966).

O. W. Greenberg and F. Low, Phys. Rev. 124, 2044 (1961). [4]

G. Marhoux and A. Martin, Preprint, N. Y., 1968. [5]

- [6] Nguyen Van Hieu, Nguyen Ngoc Thuan, and V. A. Suleimanov, JINR Preprint R2-3897, Dubna, 1968. Ann. Institut Henri Poincare (in print).
- [7]G. Szego, Orthogonal Polynomials, Am. Math. Soc. 1959.

TRANSVERSALITY OF FIELDS OF VECTOR AND AXIAL-VECTOR MESONS AND HELICITY SYMMETRIES

Dzh. L. Chkarueli Physics Institute, Georgian Academy of Sciences Submitted 23 December 1968; resubmitted 24 January 1969 ZhETF Pis. Red. 9, No. 5, 321-324 (5 March 1969)

We establish in this paper, on the basis of the Lagrangian formalism, the connection between the condition of the transversality of the fields of V and A mesons with helicity symmetries. We show that in theories in which the V and A fields remain transverse also in the interactino, a helicity group arises and moreover the field algebra is satisfied.

We consider the most general relativistically- and P-invariant Lagrangian with dimensionless coupling constants, describing the interaction of a generally arbitrary and different number of fields

$$P^{\sigma}(0^{-}), \ \sigma^{\bullet}(0^{+}), \ V_{\mu}^{i}(1^{-}), \ A_{\mu}^{m}(1^{+}), \ B^{r}(1/2^{+})$$
 (1)

and we construct the corresponding equations of motion. We assume, in addition, that

$$\partial_{\mu}V_{\mu}^{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n_{V}; \ \partial_{\mu}A_{\mu}^{m} = 0, \quad m = 1, ..., n_{A}.$$
 (2)

The subsequent analysis is based on a general remark [1], according to which the equations of motion should not produce excessive limitations on the number of degrees of freedom of the fields (1). Therefore each term of the independent Lorentz structure in the additional conditions

$$(m_{V}^{2})_{ij} \partial_{\mu} V_{\mu}^{j} = R^{i}(P, \sigma, V, A, B) = \hat{0},$$

 $(m_{A}^{2})_{mn} \partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{n} = Q^{m}(P, \sigma, V, A, B) = 0$

(obtained by taking the 4-divergences of the equations of motions of the V and A fields and replacing in the resultant expression the higher-order derivatives of the fields (1) in accordance with the equations of motion, and then using conditions (2)) should vanish as a result of the coefficient. This yields a number of relations for the mass matrices and the

¹⁾ Except for the necessary limitations, such as the transversality conditions (2) and the equations of motion of the fields V_{μ} and A_{μ} themselves (at μ = 4).

coupling constants in the initial Lagrangian L⁽⁰⁾(x). Taking them into account, we get

$$L^{(\circ)}(x) = -\frac{1}{4} \bigvee_{\mu\nu} \bigvee_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_{\mu} m_{\nu}^{2} \bigvee_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} A_{\mu} m_{\lambda}^{2} A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} A_{\mu} m_{\lambda}^{2} A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} - i T_{i}^{(1)}) \bigvee_{\mu}^{i} - i \gamma_{5} T_{m}^{(2)} A_{\mu}^{m}) B - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} - \eta^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i} - i \Delta^{m} A_{\mu}^{m}) P \times \\ \times (\partial_{\mu} - \eta^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i} + i \Delta^{n} A_{\mu}^{n}) P - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} - \xi^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i} - i \Delta^{m} A_{\mu}^{m}) \sigma \times \\ \times (\partial_{\mu} - \xi^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i} + i \Delta^{n} A_{\mu}^{n}) \sigma - (\partial_{\mu} - \eta^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i}) P (\partial_{\mu} - \Delta^{m} A_{\mu}^{m}) \sigma + \\ + (\partial_{\mu} - \xi^{i} \bigvee_{\mu}^{i}) \sigma (\partial_{\mu} - \Delta^{m} A_{\mu}^{m}) P + \tilde{B} (G_{\bullet}^{(1)} \sigma^{\bullet} + i \gamma_{5} G_{\sigma}^{(0)} P^{\alpha}) B + \\ + \Pi_{\alpha b c d}^{(1)} P^{\alpha} P^{b} P^{c} P^{d} + \Pi_{\alpha b e f}^{(12)} P^{\alpha} P^{b} \sigma^{\bullet} \sigma^{f} + \Pi_{e f g h}^{(2)} \sigma^{\bullet} \sigma^{f} \sigma^{g} \sigma^{h} - \\ - \frac{1}{2} P^{\mu}_{P}^{2} P - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \mu_{\sigma}^{2} \sigma,$$

$$(4)$$

where

$$\begin{split} V_{\mu\nu}^{i} &= \partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}^{i} - \partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}^{i} + a_{ljk} V_{\mu}^{i} V_{\nu}^{k} + \beta_{mn}^{i} A_{\mu}^{m} A_{\nu}^{n}, \\ A_{\mu\nu}^{m} &= \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{m} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{m} - \beta_{mn}^{i} (V_{\mu}^{i} A_{\nu}^{n} - V_{\nu}^{i} A_{\mu}^{n}). \end{split}$$

The relations obtained by this method make it obvious that (as a consequence of the traversality condition (2)) the matrices of the coupling constants α , β , η , ξ , and $T^{(1)}$ form a representation of Lie algebra with structural constants $\alpha_{i,i,k}$ (the matrices α^i - regular):

$$a_{ijk} = -a_{jik} = a_{jki}, [a^i, a^i] = -a_{ijk}a^k,$$
 (5a)

$$\beta_{mn}^{i} = -\beta_{nm}^{i}, [\beta^{i}, \beta^{i}] = a_{ijk}\beta^{k}, \beta_{mn}^{i}\beta_{pq}^{i} + \beta_{qm}^{i}\beta_{pn}^{i} + \beta_{nq}^{i}\beta_{pm}^{i} = 0$$
 (5b)

etc; the mass matrices are proportional to the unit matrices for the irreducible representations, for example for the matrices of the V and A fields

$$[\alpha^{i}, m_{V}^{2}] = 0, [\beta^{i}, m_{A}^{2}] = 0 \quad \beta_{m',n}^{i}(m_{A}^{2})_{m',m} = \beta_{m,n}^{i}(m_{V}^{2})_{i',i}$$
 (5c)

and $L^{(0)}(x)$ is invariant against the group of transformation of the fields (1) - in infinite-simal form -

$$\delta_{\omega} V_{\mu}^{l} = \alpha_{lik} \omega_{i} V_{\mu}^{k}, \ \delta_{cb} V_{\mu}^{l} = \beta_{mn}^{l} \phi_{m} A_{\mu}^{n}, \tag{6a}$$

$$\delta_{\omega} A_{\mu}^{m} = \beta_{mn}^{i} \omega_{i} A_{\mu}^{n}, \quad \delta_{\phi} A_{\mu}^{m} = \beta_{mn}^{i} \phi_{n} V_{\mu}^{i}, \tag{6b}$$

$$\delta_{\omega}P^{\alpha} = \eta_{\alpha b}^{i} \omega_{i} P^{b}, \quad \delta_{\phi}P^{\alpha} = \Delta_{\alpha e}^{m} \phi_{m} \sigma^{e}, \tag{6c}$$

$$\delta_{\omega}\sigma^{e} = \xi_{ef}^{i} \omega_{i} \sigma^{f}, \quad \delta_{\phi}\sigma^{e} = \Delta_{\sigma e}^{m} \phi_{m} P^{\sigma}, \quad (6d)$$

$$\delta_{\omega}^{B} = -i T_{i}^{(1)} \omega_{i}^{B}, \qquad \delta_{\phi}^{B} = -i \gamma_{5} T_{m}^{(2)} \phi_{m}^{B}, \tag{6e}$$

where ω_{i} and ϕ_{m} are the transformation parameters.

A few remarks are in order.

1) The number of V and A fields can be different in the theory. It is easy to imagine, for example, a situation wherein some of the V fields have no axial partners and can serve as a source of invariance against transformations of the type $B \rightarrow e^{i\Lambda}B$ with Λ a constant, or of

higher symmetry, and the other part transforms together with the A fields in accordance with (6a, b), with $\beta_{jk}^i = \alpha_{ijk}^i$. A suitable example - (3 Θ 1) - is the theory of the photon and of weak W bosons (in which the photon is identified with a certain linear combination of the singlet field and one of the members of the triplet)[2], combined with the U(3) \otimes U(3) nonets of the 1 mesons. However, much less trivial realizations are also possible. We shall assume henceforth an equal number of V and A fields.

- 2) Using the canonical commutators for the fields (1), we can readily obtain from (4) that the fields of the V and A mesons satisfy the commutation relations of the helical field algebra [3]. We note that as a result of commutation of the spatial components V_{ℓ}^{i} and A_{ℓ}^{m} with each other ($\ell = 1, 2, 3$), the obtained algebra cannot be of the type of the once-popular SU(6) \otimes SU(6). It should also be pointed out that within the context of the proposed theory, the "field-current identities" are naturally realized [4].
- 3) In the Lagrangian (4), the fields of spin 1/2 are massless, the masses of the A and V fields are equal (see (5)), and 0^+ fields are present. The next step is to eliminate the 0^+ fields (or at least part of them) from the theory, in analogy with the procedure used in the nonlinear σ model [5]. This leads to the appearance of a mass in the 1/2 fields, and to a mass shift of the V and A mesons, as well as to other consequences of the helicity dynamics [6] discussed recently by many authors (see references in [6]). The theory obtained in this manner can obviously regarded as a minimal helicity dynamics. It proposes a satisfactory description of the low-energy strong interactions (PB decays, A \rightarrow VP decays, etc) and is parametrized, as can be seen from (4) by only two coupling constants: the self-action constant g of the V fields and the constant G of the interaction between the 0^- fields and the 1/2 fields.

Nonminimal theories arise when account is taken in the expansion $L(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L^{(n)}(x)$ (expansion of the "total Lagrangian" in a "fundamental constant" with dimension of length) also of the terms with n = 1, n = 2, etc. It is interesting to note that in this case a certain "economy" in interaction constants is observed: factorization relations between the coupling constants, similar to those arising in the simple pole models with P, V, and A exchange, arise also for the vertices of the described reactions with production of V and (or) A mesons.

A detailed analysis of these questions, as well as questions connected with violation of helicity symmetries, will be presented elsewhere.

The author is sincerely grateful to V. I. Ogievetskii for numerous stimulating discussions and to O. V. Kancheli and S. G. Matinyan for useful advice and remarks.

[1] V. I. Ogievetsky and I. V. Polubarinov, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) <u>25</u>, 358 (1963); Nucl. Phys. <u>76</u>, 677 (1966).

At an equal number of V and A fields $\beta_{jk}^i = \alpha_{ijk}$, as follows from (5). Then the A fields as well as the V fields transform in accordance with the regular representation of the algebra.

It was just such a theory $(L = L^{(0)} + L^{(1)})$ which was considered by most authors of [6].

- S. L. Glashow and M. Gell-Mann, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.), <u>15</u>, 437 (1961).
 T. D. Lee, S. Weinberg, and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>18</u>, 1029 (1967).
 T. D. Lee and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. <u>163</u>, 16, 70 (1967).
 M. Gell-Mann and M. Levy, Nuovo Cimento <u>16</u>, 705 (1960).
- [4]
- [5]
- S. Weinberg, Proc. XIV Intern. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Vienna, 1968.

POSSIBILITY OF DETECTING THE W BOSON BY MEANS OF THE POLARIZATION OF THE MUONS FROM THE $W \rightarrow \mu + \nu$ DECAY

G. G. Bunatyan, Yu. P. Dobretsov, B. A. Dolgoshein, E. D. Zhizhin, V. G. Kirillov-Ugryumov, and Yu. P. Nikitin

Submitted 29 January 1969

ZhETF Pis. Red. 9, No. 5, 325 - 328 (5 March 1969)

The most reliable information on the W boson is presently obtained from neutrino-beam experiments [1,2]. It follows from these experiments that if the W boson exists its mass is $m_{tJ} > 2 \text{ GeV}$.

Besides experiments in a neutrino beam, experiments were made to observe the W boson in NN interactions [3, 4] (of the NN → NNW type), consisting of searches for high-energy muons emitted at large angles to the proton beam.

The search for the W boson in nucleon-nucleon interactions offer a number of advantages over experiments in a neutrino beam: larger value of the expected cross section [5], much higher intensity and energy of the proton beam compared with the neutrino beam. However, the very difficult background conditions, connected with the presence of muons from the $\pi \to \mu$ decay, make such experiments and their interpretation difficult. Therefore the upper limit obtained in [3] for the W production cross section, $\sigma_{ty} < 2 \times 10^{-34}$ cm², is subject to an appreciable uncertainty connected with the estimates of the background due to the $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ decay.

In this paper we wish to call attention to an entirely different method of searching for W among the proton-nuclear reaction products.

The point is that the longitudinal polarization of the muons from the W → µv decay should have a sign opposite to that of the muons from the decays $\pi \rightarrow \mu + \nu$ and $K \rightarrow \mu + \nu$. The formula for the longitudinal polarization of μ^{t} in the W^{t} system in the case of an arbitrary spin state of W is

$$P(\mu^{+}) = \pm \frac{1 + (3/2)(\vec{\xi}\mathbf{n}) + (3/4)c_{nn} - (\mu^{2}/2m_{W}^{2})(1 - (3/2)c_{nn})}{1 + (3/2)(\vec{\xi}\mathbf{n}) + (3/4)c_{nn} + (\mu^{2}/2m_{W}^{2})(1 - (3/2)c_{nn})},$$
(1)

where $\vec{\xi}$ is the W polarization, $c_{nn} = c_{ab}^n a_b^n$, c_{ab}^n is the W alignment tensor, \vec{n} a unit vector in the momentum direction, $\,\mu$ the muon mass, and $\,m_{_{\hspace{-.1em}W}}$ the W-boson mass. The sign corresponds to the sign of the charge of μ^{\pm} . It is seen from formula (1) that $P(\mu^{\pm}) = \pm 1$ practically always, with the exception of the exotic situation when the following conditions are satisfied: 1) $(\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{n})$ is close to zero with accuracy $\sim \mu^2/m_W^2$, 2) $c_{nn} = -4/3$ with the same accuracy. The magnitude of cnn for different W-production processes is given by the formula

$$c_{nn} = \frac{2}{3} - 2 \frac{|Mn|^2}{|M|^2} , \qquad (2)$$