can be of the order of 10^{-3} is $K_0^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$, the violation taking place in the mass operator of the (K, K₂) system.*

Indeed, the CP-even part in the mass operator is of the order of $G^{2}m_{N}^{4}/(2\pi)^{4}$. The contribution of the considered CP-odd interaction is of the order of $G^{3}m_{N}^{2}/(2\pi)^{6}$. Consequently the effect of CP-noninvariance in the mass operator is of the order of $G\Lambda^2/(2\pi)^2 \cdot \Lambda^2/m_N^2$, which amounts to $\sim 10^{-3}$ when $\Lambda \sim 10$ GeV.

The foregoing CP-parity violation mechanism does not explain the preliminary data on the relative probability of the decays $K_2^0 + 2\pi^0$ and $K_2^0 + \pi^+ + \pi^-$ [6]. Its results coincide with those of superweak interaction [7], i.e., it leads to a noticeable effect of CP-parity violation only in $K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ decays.

It is obvious that the proposed mechanism for CP-noninvariance in $K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ decays takes place in the fully CP-odd theory of weak interaction [8]. The latter, however, leads to many consequences which do not arise in the case of superweak interaction.

The author is sincerely grateful to B. L. Ioffe, I. Yu. Kobzarev, and L. B. Okun' for discussions.

- L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Lett. <u>15</u>, 196 (1965). [1]
- L. B. Okun and B. Ya. Zeldovic, Phys. Lett. <u>16</u>, 319 (1965). [2]
- M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. <u>125</u>, 1067 (1962) (formulas (3.5) and (3.16)). [3]
- [4]
- [5]
- B. L. Ioffe and E. P. Shabalin, Yad. Fiz. <u>6</u>, 828 (1967) [Sov. J. Nuc. Phys. <u>6</u>, (1968)] U. Camini, D. Cline, W. F. Fry, and W. M. Powell, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>13</u>, 318 (1964). W. Galbraith et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 20 (1967); I. W. Cronin, P. F. Kunz, et al., ibid. <u>18</u>, 25 (1967).
- [7]
- L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 562 (1964). E. P. Shabalin, Yad. Fiz. 4, 1037 (1966) [Sov. J. Nuc. Phys. 4, 744 (1967)]. [8]
- *Another such effect is the suppression of the $K_2^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$ decay amplitude by a factor 10³ compared with the $K_1^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$ decay amplitude.

INTERBAND TRANSITIONS IN METALS, DUE TO BRAGG REFLECTION OF ELECTRONS

- A. I. Golovashkin, A. I. Kopeliovich, and G. P. Motulevich
- P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, USSR Academy of Sciences Submitted 6 June 1967

ZhETF Pis'ma 6, No. 5, 651-652 (1 September 1967)

In our earlier paper [1] we related the singularities in the variation of the interband conductivity σ in the infrared and visible parts of the spectrum with the Fourier components of the pseudopotential V_g . The positions of the maxima of σ were used to calculate V_{111} and V₂₀₀, the two fundamental Fourier components of the pseudopotential of indium. Similar considerations were advanced also in a theoretical paper by Harrison [2].

In this paper we consider interband transitions connected with Bragg splitting, and derive a method for determining the Fourier components of the pseudopotential from optical measurements.

The results of this study show that the fundamental structure of σ is determined in the indicated spectral region by the Bragg reflection of the electrons, and not by transitions connected with high-symmetry points, as is the case in semiconductors.

We have calculated the interband conductivity o under the following assumptions: the wave function of the electrons is taken to be a sum of two plane waves; the electron energy was determined by the expression obtained from the solution of the second-order secular equation; the Hamiltonian for the interaction between the electrons and the light was taken in the form $H_i = i * he/mc(\nabla \vec{A})$, where \vec{A} is the vector potential of the electromagnetic field, e and m are the charge and mass of the free electron, and c is the speed of light; the relaxation processes were taken into account with the aid of a Lorentz function with a parameter γ characterizing the smearing of the energy levels. For a cubic crystal we obtained

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\hbar} \cdot \frac{e^2}{\pi^2 \hbar^2} \cdot \sum_{g} a_g p_g \cdot l,$$

$$I = \frac{\gamma'}{\omega'} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 + x^2} \left[\left(\sqrt{1 + x^2} - \omega' \right)^2 + {\gamma'}^2 \right]},$$

$$\gamma' = \gamma / (\hbar \omega_g), \quad \omega' = \omega / \omega_g, \quad \hbar \omega_g = 2 |V_g|.$$

Here n is the number of physically equivalent Bragg planes g, p_g is the distance from the center of the band to the corresponding Bragg plane in momentum space, and ω is the cyclic frequency of the light. The summation is carried out over the physically nonequivalent Bragg planes.

An analysis of the function $\sigma(\omega)$ shows it to have maxima at the frequencies $\omega_{max} = 2 |V_g|/t \approx 2 |V_g|$. The coefficient t depends on γ' and its maximum deviation from unity does not exceed 6%. A detailed exposition of this theory will be published separately.

Using the results of this theory and the experimental data for aluminum [3] we get: $|V_{200}| = 0.72 \pm 0.01$ eV, $|V_{111}| = 0.22 \pm 0.03$ eV, in good agreement with data obtained from the de Haas - van Alphen effect [4], namely $V_{200} = 0.76$ eV and $V_{111} = 0.24$ eV.

Comparison of the experimental and theoretical absolute values of $\sigma(\omega)$ shows good agreement between theory and experiment.

- [1] A. I. Golovashkin, I. S. Levchenko, G. P. Motulevich, and A. A. Shubin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 51, 1622 (1966) [Sov. Phys.-JETP 24, 1093 (1967)].
- [2] W. A. Harrison, Phys. Rev. 147, 467 (1966).
- [3] N. N. Shklyarevskii, R. G. Yarovaya, Opt. i spektr. 16, 85 (1964); A. I. Golovashkin, G. P. Motulevich and A. A. Shubin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38, 51 (1960) [Sov. Phys.-JETP 11, 38 (1960)].
- [4] N. W. Ashcroft, Phil. Mag. 8, 2055 (1963).

ERRATA

In article by A. I. Golovashkin et al., Vol. 6 No. 5, p. 143, in the first formula read " $\sigma = \frac{1}{12} \dots$ " in lieu of " $\sigma = \frac{1}{h} \dots$ "