

$\Delta\xi \ll R$ ($\kappa_1 = \kappa$), but also when $\Delta\xi \gg R$ ($\kappa_1 = \frac{3}{4}\kappa$); in the latter case $\Delta\varphi = 4\pi G(1 + \epsilon_1)\rho$, and if $\epsilon_1 \lesssim 1$, then $\epsilon_1 \sim R(\Delta\xi)^{-1} \sim l^{-2}(\varphi/c^2)^n$, where φ is the Newton potential. At the given order of magnitude of l , the possibility $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ is excluded (in analogy with the case $r_1 = 0$), and ϵ_1 turns out to be $\sim (a/a_0)^{2n}(\rho/5)^{n-1}\lambda^{-n}10^{-14}$ (ρ is in g/cm^3) and leads to imperceptible (under ordinary conditions) violations of Poisson's equation. Therefore, in a real field

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{3}{4}\kappa \quad (8)$$

and according to (7) the quantity $\delta_m = m_0 m^{-1} - 1$ for the sun has a nonrelativistic order of magnitude (provided only $\delta_\gamma \neq +0.25$, i.e., $\beta \neq 1.31''$, which is apparently excluded with certainty). When $\delta_\gamma = -0.1$ we have $m_0(\odot) = 1.47m(\odot)$ and for all the probable values of δ_γ we have $m_0(\odot) > m(\odot)$.

We see that according to the metric theory δ_γ is due to the inequality of the solar masses. The nonrelativistic nature of δ_m is due to the non-Einstein character of the internal field, which (according to the estimate of ϵ_1) can deviate appreciably from Poisson's equation, remaining at the same time nonrelativistic ($|\varphi| \ll c^2$). Therefore the internal state of the nonrelativistic stars (as well as of the sun) should differ noticeably from the Poisson state. For planets of the solar system $\delta_m \sim \epsilon_1 \ll 1$ (for Jupiter and Saturn $\delta_m \sim 10^{-8}$ and 10^{-9} if $n = 4$ and $\delta_m \sim 10^{-7}$ and 10^{-8} if $n = 5$).

The detailed theory will be published in JETP.

- [1] R. Dicke and H. Mark Goldenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 18, 313 (1967).
- [2] G. C. McVittie, *General Relativity and Cosmology*, Chapman and Hill, 1956.
- [3] C. Brans and R. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* 124, 925 (1961).
- [4] N. M. Polievktov-Nikoladze, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 52, 1360 (1967) [*Sov. Phys.-JETP* 25, 904 (1967)].
- [5] N. M. Polievktov-Nikoladze, *ZhETF Pis. Red.* 6, 874 (1967) [*JETP Lett.* 6, 308 (1967)].

NONLINEAR NEGATIVE ABSORPTION OF LIGHT IN AN INHOMOGENEOUSLY INVERTED SEMICONDUCTOR

L. A. Rivlin

Submitted 12 September 1967; resubmitted 17 October 1967

ZhETF Pis'ma 6, No. 11, 966-967 (1 December 1967)

Certain experimental singularities of the dynamics of the emission of semiconductor lasers [1-5] give grounds for assuming that a semiconductor structure consisting of alternating sections with different positions of the Fermi quasilevels μ (for example, a laser with isolated injection regions [6,7]) constitutes a nonlinear stable medium with negative absorption, similar to the well-known two-component media [8-10], and has the following nonlinearity mechanism.

Light acting on a semiconductor structure which is stable in the initial state causes raising of μ_m in the absorbing section and lowering of μ_n in the amplifying section; this is accompanied by change in the corresponding negative-absorption coefficients g_m and g_n . By virtue of the asymmetry of the frequency dependence of the absorption coefficient, these changes occur, for a specified light frequency ω , at different rates:

$$\frac{dg_m}{dt} > - \frac{dg_n}{dt}.$$

Therefore the total negative-absorption coefficient $g = \gamma_n g_n + \gamma_m g_m$ first increases, until the absorption section is saturated, and then decreases as the amplifying section approaches saturation ($\gamma_m + \gamma_n = 1$ are the relative dimensions of the sections of the structure). Such a behavior is typical of stable two-component media [8-10] and makes it possible to explain the experimental data [1-5].

It can be shown that for a model with a constant density of states ρ_v in the valence band and with an exponential dependence of

$$\rho = \rho_n \exp \frac{E}{E_0}$$

on the energy E in the conduction band [11] at a temperature $T = 0$, a medium in which

$$g(\hbar\omega) = (cA)^{-1} \left\{ \left[\gamma_n - \exp\left(-\frac{\mu_v}{E_0}\right) \right] \exp \frac{\hbar\omega}{E_0} + \gamma_m \exp \frac{\mu_m}{E_0} \right\},$$

and its rate of change under the influence of a quasimonochromatic light flux with photon volume density N is

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = \frac{\gamma_m N}{cA^2 E_0 \rho_n} \left[\exp \frac{\hbar\omega - \mu_v}{E_0} - \exp \frac{\mu_m}{E_0} \right] > 0,$$

is realized in the energy interval $\mu_m + \mu_v < \hbar\omega < \mu_n$ under the condition $g_0 < \alpha < \gamma_n g_n$, where c is the speed of light in the medium, $A \approx \text{const}$, μ_m and μ_n are the Fermi quasilevels for electrons, μ_v - for holes reckoned from the ceiling of the valence band, g_0 is the initial value of g , and α is the coefficient of dissipative absorption.

- [1] V. D. Kurnosov, V. I. Magalyas, A. A. Pleshkov, L. A. Rivlin, V. G. Trukhan, and V. V. Tsvetkov, *ZhETF Pis. Red.* 4, 449 (1966) [*JETP Lett.* 4, 303 (1966)].
- [2] V. D. Kurnosov, A. A. Pleshkov, G. S. Petrukhina, L. A. Rivlin, V. G. Trukhan, and V. V. Tsvetkov, *ibid.* 5, 77 (1967) [5, 63 (1967)].
- [3] Yu. A. Drozhbin, Yu. P. Zakharov, V. V. Nikitin, A. S. Semenov, and V. A. Yakovlev, *ibid.* 5, 180 (1967) [5, 143 (1967)].
- [4] V. I. Magalyas, A. A. Pleshkov, L. A. Rivlin, A. T. Semenov, and V. V. Tsvetkov, *ibid.* 6, 550 (1967) [6, 68 (1967)].
- [5] L. A. Rivlin and V. S. Shil'dyaev, *ibid.* 6, 659 (1967) [6, 148 (1967)].
- [6] G. J. Lasher, *Solid State Electronics* 7, 707 (1964).
- [7] N. G. Basov, Yu. P. Zakharov, V. V. Nikitin, and A. A. Sheronov, *Fiz. Tverd. Tela* 7, 3128 (1965) [*Sov. Phys.-Solid State* 7, 2532 (1966)].
- [8] L. A. Rivlin, Author's Certificate (Patent) No. 166149 of 3 July 1963; *Byulletin' izobretenii*, No. 21, 1964; *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 47, 624 (1964) [*Sov. Phys.-JETP* 20, 416 (1966)].
- [9] N. G. Basov, R. V. Ambartsumyan, V. S. Zuev, P. G. Kryukov, and V. S. Letokhov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 50, 23 (1966) [*Sov. Phys.-JETP* 23, 16 (1966)].
- [10] L. A. Rivlin, *Radiotekhnika i elektronika* 10, 665 (1965) and 12, 278 (1967).
- [11] G. Lasher and F. Stern, *Phys. Rev.* 133, A533 (1964).