

One of us (N.M.Q) is grateful to JINR for hospitality and to CERN for financial support.

- [1] J.V. Allaby et al. Phys. Lett. 30B, 500 (1969).
- [2] R.J.N. Phillips and W. Rarita, Phys. Rev. 139B, 1336 (1965).
- [3] G.V. Dass, C. Michael, and R.J.N. Phillips, Nucl. Phys. B9, 549 (1969).
- [4] V. Barger and R.J.N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 291 (1970).
- [5] V. Barger and R.J. N. Phillips, Phys. Lett. 31B, 643 (1970).
- [6] R. Arnowitt and P. Rotelli, Imperial College (London) preprint ICTP (69), 14.
- [7] D. Horn, Phys. Lett. 31B, 30 (1970).
- [8] O.V. Dumbrajs and N.M. Queen, Phys. Lett. 32B, 65 (1970).
- [9] N.M. Queen, M. Restignoli, and G. Voilini, Fortschritte der Physik 17, 476 (1969).
- [10] V.I. Zhuravlev and K.V. Rerikh, Yad. Fiz. 6, 165 (1967) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6, 121 (1968)].
- [11] J.K. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 29 (1965).
- [12] A.D. Martin and R. Perrin, Durham University preprint, 1970.
- [13] O.V. Dumbrajs, T.Yu. Dumbrajs, and N.M. Queen, JINR preprint, E-2-5216, Dubna, 1970.

#### CHIRAL DYNAMICS OF $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$ SYSTEM

B.M. Zubnik and V.I. Ogievetskii  
 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research  
 Submitted 15 July 1970  
 ZhETF Pis. Red. 12, No. 4, 194 - 196 (20 August 1970)

1. A constructive method of extracting the consequences of current algebra is the method of effective Lagrangians [1 - 5]. Our remark consists of the fact that in the usual chiral scheme for the  $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$  system [3 - 5] there is an arbitrariness connected with the presence in the Lagrangian of different terms with three and four field derivatives. In the present paper we construct an effective interaction Lagrangian of the  $A_1$ -,  $\rho$ -, and  $\pi$ -mesons containing not more than two derivatives in each term and giving the minimum ( $\leq 2$ ) degree of the 4-momenta in all the vertices. The limitation of the dependence of the contact vertices on the 4-momenta leads to reasonable physical consequences, for example, the frequently discussed anomalous magnetic moment  $\delta$  of the  $A_1$  meson is equal to -1.

2. Assume that we have in the  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  group a linearly-transforming vector in axial-vector field  $\vec{\rho}_\mu$  and  $\vec{a}_\mu$ , and also a pion field  $\vec{\pi}$  with a non-linear transformation law [1, 2]

$$\delta \vec{\pi} = -\vec{a} \times \vec{\pi} + f_\pi \vec{\beta} \sigma, \quad \sigma = (1 - \vec{\pi}^2/f_\pi^2)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{\alpha}$  and  $\vec{\beta}$  are infinitesimal parameters and  $f_\pi \approx 95$  MeV is the pion decay constant. Then the pions enter in the Lagrangian via the covariant derivative [1 - 5]:

$$\nabla_\mu \pi^a = \{ \delta_{ab} + [f_\pi^2 \sigma (1 + \sigma)]^{-1} \pi^a \pi^b \} (D_\mu \pi^b + g f_\pi \sigma a_\mu^b), \quad (2)$$

where  $a, b = 1, 2, 3$  and  $D_\mu \vec{\pi} = \partial_\mu \vec{\pi} - g \vec{\rho}_\mu \times \vec{\pi}$ .

The invariant  $(\nabla_\mu \vec{\pi})^2$  contains a non-physical bilinear coupling  $\vec{a}_\mu \partial_\mu \vec{\pi}$ , which is usually eliminated from L by introducing a new field

$$\vec{A}_\mu = \vec{a}_\mu + (2gf_\pi)^{-1} D_\mu \vec{\pi} \quad [3 - 5].$$

3. We call attention to the fact that after such a replacement of  $\vec{a}_\mu$  from the axial-vector field self-action term  $(\vec{a}_\mu \times \vec{a}_\nu)^2$  there arise in the usual Lagrangian of the  $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$  system [3 - 5] undesirable terms  $[D_\mu \pi \times D_\nu \pi]^2$  with four field derivatives and terms with three derivatives. (It is convenient to introduce the symbol  $D$  for the number of derivatives in the individual terms of  $L$ , and then the usual Lagrangian [3 - 5] is characterized by the condition  $D \leq 4$ .) In such a case it is necessary to include in  $L$ , independently, all the possible invariants with three and four field derivatives, and then the predictions of the theory become less definite as a result of the appearance of arbitrary parameters.

Analogously, in current algebra there is a leeway in the choice of the solutions of the Ward identities [6 - 7] for the vertex functions.

It must also be stated that the presence in  $L$  of couplings with  $D = 3$  or  $4$  leads to a non-smooth dependence of the  $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$ ,  $A_1 \rightarrow 3\pi$ , and  $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$  amplitudes on the 4-momenta.

In this connection we impose a natural requirement: the effective Lagrangian of the  $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$  system should contain not more than two derivatives in each term.

4. To simplify the calculations, we assume that the constants  $g_\rho$  and  $g_A$  of coupling with the vector and axial-vector fields are equal, and that the KSFR relation is satisfied [8]:

$$g_\rho = g_A = g, \quad 2f_\pi^2 g_\rho^2 = m_\rho^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $m_\rho$  is the  $\rho$ -meson mass.

In the most general invariant Lagrangian, containing several arbitrary parameters in the terms with  $D = 3$  or  $4$ , these terms are eliminated in unique fashion at rigorously defined values of the parameters by means of the substitution

$$\vec{a}_\mu \rightarrow \vec{A}_\mu = \vec{a}_\mu + [f_\pi g(1 + \sigma)]^{-1} D_\mu \vec{\pi}, \quad (4)$$

in which  $(2gf_\pi)^{-1} D_\mu \vec{\pi}$  leads to the vanishing of the  $\vec{A}_\mu D_\mu \vec{\pi}$  coupling, and the term nonlinear in the pions is determined from the requirement  $D \leq 2$ . It is precisely this replacement which enables us to obtain the self-action of the fields  $\vec{\rho}_\mu$  and  $\vec{a}_\mu$  without the appearance of terms with  $D = 3$  or  $4$ , with the aid of the quantities:

$$\vec{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \vec{\rho}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{\rho}_\mu - g \vec{\rho}'_\mu \times \vec{\rho}_\nu - g(1 - \sigma) \vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu + f_\pi^{-1} (\vec{A}_\mu \times \partial_\nu \vec{\pi} \times D_\mu \vec{\pi} \times \vec{A}_\nu), \quad (5)$$

$$\vec{A}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \vec{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{A}_\mu - g \vec{\rho}'_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu + g \vec{\rho}'_\nu \times \vec{A}_\mu - g f_\pi^{-1} \vec{\pi} \times (\vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu) \quad (6)$$

which are chosen such that  $\vec{\rho}'_{\mu\nu}$  in conjunction with  $\{\vec{A}_{\mu\nu} + [f_\pi(1 + \sigma)]^{-1} \vec{\rho}'_{\mu\nu} \times \vec{\pi}\}$  are transformed linearly in  $SU_2 \times SU_2$ .

The effective Lagrangian of the  $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$  system, which is quadratic in  $\Delta_\mu \vec{\pi}$ ,  $\vec{\rho}'_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $\vec{A}_{\mu\nu}$  and contains only terms with not more than two derivatives, can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & -\frac{1}{4} \vec{p}_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{4} [f_{\pi}(1+\sigma)]^{-2} (\vec{\pi} \times \vec{p}_{\mu\nu})^2 - \frac{1}{2} [f_{\pi}(1+\sigma)]^{-1} \times \\
& \times (\vec{p}_{\mu\nu} \times \vec{\pi} A_{\mu\nu}) + g(1+\sigma)^{-1} [f_{\pi}(\sigma-1)(D_{\mu} \vec{\pi} A_{\mu}) + (f_{\pi}\sigma)^{-1} (A_{\mu} \vec{\pi}) (\vec{\pi} \partial_{\mu} \vec{\pi})] - \\
& - g^2 (\vec{\pi} \times A_{\mu})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1+\sigma)^{-2} [(D_{\mu} \vec{\pi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{\pi}^{-2} (\vec{\pi} \partial_{\mu} \vec{\pi})^2] + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \vec{p}_{\mu}^2 + \\
& + \frac{M_A^2}{2} A_{\mu}^2 + m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \sigma, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $m_{\rho}$ ,  $M_A = \sqrt{2m_{\rho}}$ , and  $m_{\pi}$  are the masses of the corresponding particles. The choice of the term  $m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2 \sigma$ , which violates the  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  invariance, is dictated by the PCAC [1 - 2]. If we disregard the limitations (3), then the expression for the Lagrangian with  $D \leq 2$  becomes somewhat more complicated and will be given in a more detailed report of the work.

5. The axial vector currents calculated from L by the Gell-Mann and Levi method satisfy the simultaneous commutation relations of the field algebra [8].

In the "tree" approximation [1 - 5], the contact vertices are polynomials in the 4-momenta, the degree of which is equal to the number of derivatives D in the corresponding term from L, and therefore the dependence of the vertices of the 4-momenta can also be characterized by the value of D. In our model, any contact vertex has  $D < 2$ , and for the  $A_1$ - $\rho$ - $\pi$  system this limitation cannot be made stronger, since couplings with  $D = 2$  yield the terms that are needed in L, namely  $(\nabla_{\mu} \vec{\pi})^2$ ,  $\vec{p}_{\mu\nu}^2$ , etc.

Thus, the vertex functions calculated from the Lagrangian (7) are a particular solution of the Ward identity in the  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  field algebra with the smoothest possible dependence of the contact vertices on the 4-momenta.

6. The anomalous magnetic moment  $\delta$  of the  $A_1$  meson is not determined by the Ward identities for the  $A_1 A_1 \rho$ ,  $A_1 \rho \pi$ , and  $\rho \pi \pi$  vertices [6]. Our requirement  $D \leq 2$  yields in this case an acceptable value of  $\delta = -1$ . Without the limitations (3), it follows of necessity from the general Lagrangian with  $D \leq 2$  that

$$\delta = (g_A/g_{\rho})^2 \{ 1 - [(m_{\rho}/f_{\pi}g)^2 - 1]^{-2} \} - 1 \sim -1. \tag{8}$$

We note that in this model the  $\rho \pi \pi$  and  $A_1 3\pi$  vertices have  $D = 1$ , and the contact  $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$  vertex is linear in s, t, and u. Further, for the partial widths of  $A_1 \rightarrow \rho \pi$  and of the direct  $A_1 \rightarrow 3\pi$  decays we obtain  $\Gamma(A_1 \rightarrow \rho \pi) \approx 45$  MeV and  $\Gamma(A_1 \rightarrow 3\pi) \approx 35$  MeV. The S-wave  $\pi \pi$ -scattering lengths will be the same as in the current algebra in conjunction with PCAC and the smoothness condition [8], which corresponds to our limitation on the number of derivatives in the Lagrangian. In the general Lagrangian scheme [3 - 5], at  $D \leq 4$ , the  $\pi \pi$  scattering lengths can be arbitrarily varied by including in L the term  $(\nabla_{\mu} \vec{\pi})^4$ .

- [1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 188 (1967); Phys. Rev. 166, 1568 (1968).
- [2] P. Chang and F. Gursey, Phys. Rev. 164, 1752 (1967); 169, 1397E (1968).
- [3] J. Schwinger, Phys. Lett. 24B, 473 (1967).
- [4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. 163, 1727 (1967).
- [5] B.W. Lee and H.T. Nieh, Phys. Rev. 166, 1507 (1968).

- [6] H.J. Schnitzer and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 164, 1507 (1968).
- [7] I.S. Serstein and H.J. Schnitzer, Phys. Rev. 170, 1638 (1968).
- [8] S. Weinberg, Proceedings of the XIV International Conference on High-energy Physics, p. 253, Vienna, 1968.