## Short-wave dissipative instability on trapped lectrons

A. B. Mikhailovskii (Submitted March 2, 1976) Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, No. 8, 436-438 (20 April 1976)

It is shown theoretically that a dissipative instability due to the trapped electrons can develop in a plasma contained in a tokamak at wavelengths that are small in comparison with the Larmor radius of the ions. The development of this instability calls for the presence of an electron-temperature gradient larger than the density gradient.

PACS numbers: 52.55.Gb, 52.35.En

It is known that in a plasma contained in toroidal magnetic traps of the tokanak type there can develop dissipative instabilities due to the trapped ions<sup>[1]</sup> nd the trapped electrons. <sup>[2]</sup> Both instabilities are connected with long-wave erturbations, i.e., with transverse wavelengths large in comparison with the armor radius of the ions. Greatest interest attaches to the instability ue to trapped ions, since it is not suppressed by the shear of the magnetic ield. As to the dissipative instability due to trapped electrons, <sup>[2]</sup> according to o<sup>[3]</sup> it is suppressed already at a relatively small shear. We consider in this connection the question of dissipative buildup of short-wave perturbations by the trapped electrons, the perturbation wavelength being small in comparison with the Larmor radius of the ions.

Just as  $\inf^{[1,2]}$ , we assume the perturbations to be potential and use for heir description the quasi-neutrality condition  $n_i = n_e$ , where  $n_i$  and  $n_e$  are the perturbations of the density of the ions and of the electrons, respectively. Taking into account the already advanced assumption that the perturbations are

short-wave, we conclude that the perturbed ion density is determined by the Boltzmann formula, in other words,  $e_i = -e_i \psi n_0/T_i$ , where  $n_0$ ,  $T_i$ , and  $e_i$  are the equilibrium plasma density, the ion temperature, and the ion charge, while  $\psi$  is the potential of the perturbed electric field E, defined by the relation  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \psi$ . The perturbed density of the electrons will be represented in the form of an integral with respect to the velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  and their perturbed distribution function,  $f_e = \int f d\mathbf{v}$ . The function f consists of two parts  $f = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$ , where  $f^{(1)}$  is the "Boltzmann" part,  $f^{(1)} = -e_e \psi F/T_e$  (F is the equilibrium distribution function of the electrons), and  $f^{(2)}$  is the deviation from the "Boltzmann" part. For untrapped electrons,  $f^{(2)} = 0$ , and for trapped ones, in accordance with  $f^{(2)}$ , the function  $f^{(2)}$  can be represented in the form  $f^{(2)}Fh \exp(iqn\theta)$ , where the function  $f^{(2)}$  satisfies the equation

$$\omega h - i\bar{C}(h) = (\omega - \hat{\omega}_{\hat{a}}) l_{\hat{a}} \bar{\psi} / T_{\hat{a}}. \tag{1}$$

Here q is the tokamak margin coefficient,  $\theta$  is the angular coordinate along the minor azimuth of the torus, n is the wave number along the major azimuth of the torus,  $\omega$  is the oscillation frequency, D is the collision operator,  $\hat{\omega}_{*e}$  is the operator of the gradient (drift) frequency of the electron, the explicit form of which is given in  $^{[4,5]}$ . The superior bar denotes averaging over the period of the motion of the trapped electrons.

We assume the collisions to be infrequent,  $\omega h \gg \overline{C}(h)$ . Eq. (1) for the electronic h can then be solved in the same manner as the equation for the ionic h was solved in<sup>[4]</sup>. Taking this analogy into account and using the results of<sup>[4]</sup>, we arrive at expressions of interest to us for the frequency  $\text{Re}\omega \equiv \omega_0$  and the increment  $\gamma \equiv \text{Im}\omega$  of the short-wave perturbations:

$$\omega_{o} = 0.58 \frac{2\epsilon^{\frac{V_{i}}{L}} \omega_{*i}}{1 + \frac{T_{i}}{I_{c}} T_{o}} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{\omega_{0}} = -\frac{2.6(\bar{\nu}_{e}/\epsilon\,\omega_{o})^{\frac{1}{2}}(l_{1} + \eta_{e}l_{2})}{\{\ln\left[32(\epsilon\omega_{o}/2\bar{\nu}_{e})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\}^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$
(3)

where

$$(I_1, I_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dz z^{-1/4} e^{-z} \left[1 + H(z)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1, z - \frac{3}{2}\right). \tag{4}$$

 $\epsilon \equiv a/R$  is the ratio of the minor (running) radius of the tokamak a to the major radius R,  $\omega_{*i} = (cT_i/e_iB_s)(\partial \ln n_0/\partial a)m/a$ , m is the wave number in the minor azimuth,  $B_s$  is the average equilibrium magnetic field,  $\overline{\nu}_e$  is the average frequency of the electron collisions,  $^{[4]}\eta_e \equiv \partial \ln T_e/\partial \ln n_0$ , and the explicit form of the function H(z) is given in  $^{[4]}$ .

The difference between our formulas (2) and (3) and formulas (103) and (90) of  $^{[4]}$  is merely that the electron and ion subscripts are interchanged, and that the forms of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are different: formula (90) of  $^{[4]}$  contains  $H^{1/2}(z)$  instead of  $(I+H(z))^{1/2}$ . This last difference is due to the fact that in the electron dissipation case of interest to us an important role is played by collisions of the trapped particles with the untrapped particles of the same species (with the un-

apped electrons) and with the oppositely-charged particles (ions), whereas in e case of ion dissipation, described by formula (90) of [4], only the collisions the trapped particles with the untrapped ones of the same species (with the trapped ions) are significant.

Calculation of the integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  yields

$$l_1 = 1.61$$
,  $l_2 = -1.07$ . (5)

'aking this into account, we obtain from (3) the instability criterion

$$\eta_e > 1.52. \tag{6}$$

his result is analogous to the corresponding result<sup>[4]</sup> concerning the ion buildp at  $\eta_i > 1.75$ . The numerical difference between these two criteria is connectd with the aforementioned difference in the nature of the electron and ion issipation.

We note also that expression (2) for the perturbation frequency is in qualitave agreement with the model analysis of [6] (compare also with [7]).

We have thus shown that in the presence of an electron-temperature gradient condition (6)) there can develop in the tokamak plasma a short-wave dissipative instability due to trapped electrons. An instability of this type, as well as a long-wave dissipative instability due to trapped electrons, which is widely iscussed at the present time, can lead to an increase of electronic thermal onductivity in tokamaks of future generation (i.e., under conditions of sufficiently infrequent electron collisions).

- B. B. Kadomtsev and O. P. Pogutse, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **51**, 1734 (1966) [Sov. Phys.-JETP **24**, 1172 (1967)].
- B. B. Kadomtsev and O. P. Pogutse, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **186**, 553 (1969) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **14**,470 (1969)].
- W. Horton et al., in: Proc. of the Fifth Intern. Conf. on Plasma Phys. and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Res., Tokyo, Japan, 1974 (IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 1975), paper CN-33/A 14-3.
- M.N. Rosenbluth, D.W. Ross, and D.P. Kostomarov, Nucl. Fusion 12, 3 (1972).
- A. B. Mikhaflovskif, Fiz. Plazmy 1, 378 (1975) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. 1, 207 (1975)].
- B. Coppi and G. Rewoldt, Phys. Lett. 49A, 36 (1974).
- R. Z. Sagdeev and A.A. Galeev, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 180, 839 (1968) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 13, 562 (1968)].