

Electronic spectrum and ballistic transport in bent nanotubes

A. V. Chaplik

Institute of Semiconductor Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu City, Fukushima, 965-80 Japan

Submitted 17 June 2004

It is shown that bending of a nanotube leads to splitting the electron energy levels due to breaking the azimuthal symmetry. The bent section of the nanotube acts as a scatterer for ballistic carriers resulting in qualitative changes in the dependence of conductance on the Fermi energy.

PACS: 61.46.+w

A few past years were marked by growing interest in electro-nanomechanical structures. These are freely suspended objects of a nanoscale size in which it becomes possible to affect the electron motion by making use of mechanical degrees of freedom of the structures: bending, twisting, folding in a roll, etc. In such situations one deals with electronic waves propagating in curvilinear waveguides and electrons are subjected to the action of the so called geometric potential (see, e.g., [1] and references therein).

In a strictly 1D case (curved quantum wire), the geometric potential attracts particles, independent of their charge, to the point of maximal curvature and formation of a bound state is, in principle, possible. A more complicated situation occurs for electrons confined to move upon the surface of a hollow cylinder (nanotube). Just this case is analyzed in the present paper.

1. General relations. Consider a nanotube with the semiconductor type of the electron energy spectrum:

$$\varepsilon_m(k) = Bm^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\mu}, \quad (1)$$

where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \dots$ is the azimuthal quantum number, k is the longitudinal momentum, B is the rotational constant, $B = \hbar^2/2\mu a^2$, a is the nanotube radius and μ is the effective mass. All states with $m \neq 0$ are doubly degenerate since energy is independent of the sign of azimuthal moment m . If the nanotube is bent, its cylindrical symmetry breaks down, and this should result in splitting of the states $\pm m$ as well as in a shift of all the energy levels. The reason of such changes in the energy spectrum stems from the geometric potential and, besides, the kinetic energy operator is modified (see [2, 3] and more recent works [4, 5]). Both these factors cause also the electron scattering and, hence, affect the ballistic conductivity of nanotube. In the situation in question

we deal with 2D carriers moving on a bent cylinder. The geometric potential has the form [2, 3]:

$$U = -\frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu} \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

Here R_1 , R_2 are the principal radii of curvature in the point on a surface where electron resides. The operator of kinetic energy is, of course, the Laplacian presented in the proper curvilinear orthogonal coordinates u and v :

$$\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha} \left(\sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\beta} \right), \quad (3)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor. For the situation in question $R_1 = a$ is the "eigen" radius of nanotube, while $R_2 \equiv R$ is the curvature radius of the bent nanotube.

Suppose the nanotube is bent without twisting, in other words its axis remains a plane curve. Then each small part of the nanotube can be considered as an arc of torus with the local big radius $R(s)$, where s is the length of the nanotube axis counted from an arbitrary origin. As to small radius of the torus it remains constant for any s and equals a . The pair of coordinates u, v can be chosen as $u = a\varphi$, $v = s$, where φ is the azimuthal angle in the torus cross-section. Then the squared element of length on the torus surface takes the form

$$dl^2 = a^2 d\varphi^2 + (1 + a \cos \varphi / R(s))^2 ds^2, \quad (4)$$

while the Laplace operator reads:

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{1}{ah} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\hbar}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad (5)$$

$$h = h(s, \varphi) \equiv 1 + \frac{a \cos \varphi}{R(s)}.$$

The geometric potential in the coordinates φ , s is

$$U = -\frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu} \left[\frac{1}{a} - \frac{\cos \varphi}{R(s) + a \cos \varphi} \right]^2. \quad (6)$$

By introducing the function $\chi(\varphi, s)$ instead of the wave function ψ in accord with the relation $\psi = \chi/\sqrt{h}$ one can eliminate the first derivative $\partial/\partial\varphi$ and obtain the Schrodinger equation:

$$-B \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2} + \frac{a \cos \varphi}{2Rh} + \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \varphi}{4R^2 h^2} \right] \chi - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu a^2} \left(1 - \frac{a \cos \phi}{Rh} \right)^2 \chi - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \chi = E\chi. \quad (7)$$

In what follows two effects will be considered in which the bending of nanotube is manifested.

2. Spectrum of a torus arc. Consider the simplest case: $R(s) = \text{const}$ for $0 < s < L$, so we deal with a piece of torus of the lengths L . The boundary conditions are: $\psi(0) = \psi(L) = 0$. Eq. (7) gives immediately $\chi = F(\varphi) \sin \kappa_n s$ with $\kappa_n = n\pi/L$, n is integer and we obtain a 1D Schrodinger equation with a rather complicate effective potential energy $U_{\text{eff}}(\varphi)$. It is reasonable to suppose the condition $a \ll R$ is satisfied and to look for the energy spectrum in the frames of perturbation theory. To do this one has to expand $U_{\text{eff}}(\varphi)$ up to terms of the order of $(a/R)^2$ because these terms contain the factor $\cos 2\varphi$ that gives splitting of the states $m = \pm 1$ in the first order of perturbation approach, while the terms of the type $a \cos \varphi/R$ give the same splitting in the second order¹⁾. The splitting is determined by the combined matrix element:

$$V = V_{1,-1}^{(2)} + \frac{V_{1,0}^{(1)} V_{0,-1}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_0}, \quad (8)$$

where $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$ denote the contributions from $\cos \varphi$ and $\cos 2\varphi$, respectively. The results of calculations give for three lowest subbands of the toroidal segment of nanotube:

$$\varepsilon_{0n} = \frac{\hbar^2 \kappa_n^2}{2\mu} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\mu R^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu R^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa_n^2 a^2 - \kappa_n^4 a^4 \right), \quad (9)$$

$$\varepsilon_{\pm n} = B + \varepsilon_{0n} \pm \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu R^2} \left(\kappa_n^4 a^4 + \frac{3}{4} \kappa_n^2 a^2 - \frac{3}{16} \right). \quad (10)$$

Thus, the absorption line of inter- or intraband transitions in which the subband $m = \pm 1$ is involved splits in accord with Eq. (10) if the nanotube is bent. The splitting rapidly increases with increasing the quantum number of the longitudinal motion n (as n^4). Of course, the perturbative approach should be valid, i.e. $\kappa_n^2 a^2 \ll R/a$.

3. Ballistic transport in bent nanotubes. It is clear from the previous consideration that any bent part

of nanotube acts as a scatterer for mobile electrons. In quasi-1D objects scattering simply means nonzero reflection coefficient R and the transmission coefficient T not equalled to 1. Hence, the well known staircase-like dependence of conductance on the Fermi energy should be modified for a bent nanotube.

Suppose the nanotube is asymptotically rectilinear, i.e. $R(s) \rightarrow \infty$ for $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and suppose, in addition, that $R(s)$ changes slowly as a function of its argument s : $dR/ds \ll 1$. Then the adiabatic approach to the problem can be developed: at first to omit the term with derivatives $\partial/\partial s$ in Eq. (7) and to find the "momentary" eigenfunctions $\chi_i(\varphi, s)$, where s is treated as a parameter. Then to search for the total wave function as the expansion $\sum c_i(s) \chi_i(\varphi, s)$. An interesting feature of the situation in question is dependence of the coefficient at "slow" part of the Hamiltonian (with derivatives $\partial/\partial s$) on the "fast" variable φ : h depends on φ . Hence, even if one neglects the terms $\partial\chi_i/\partial s$ and $\partial^2\chi_i/\partial s^2$ (the zeroth adiabatic approximation) the system of equations for slow amplitudes $c_i(s)$ will not become decoupled as it usually is the case in other adiabatic problems. Actually, the effective mass in our case is a matrix (depending, of course, on s)

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_k \left\langle \frac{1}{\mu} \right\rangle \frac{\partial^2 c_k}{\partial s^2} + \varepsilon_i(s) c_i(s) = E c_i(s), \quad (11)$$

where ε_i are given by Eqs.(9), (10) with $\kappa_n = 0$ and

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\mu} \right\rangle_{ik} = \frac{1}{\mu} \int \chi_i^* \left(1 + \frac{a \cos \varphi}{R} \right)^{-2} \chi_k d\varphi. \quad (12)$$

In what follows an example is considered when only three states χ_0 and χ_{\pm} contribute to the total conductance. In other words the Fermi energy lies below the bottom of the subband $m = \pm 2$: $0 < E_F < 4B$.

If $E_F < B$ only the state $m = 0$ is involved and the bending results merely in arising a potential well of the depth $\hbar^2/8\mu R^2$ (see Eq. (9)). For small Fermi energy $E_F \ll \alpha^2 B$, $\alpha \equiv a/R$, the transmission coefficient in the channel $m = 0$ tends to zero in accord with the well known formula

$$T_{00} = \frac{E}{E + W}, \quad E = E_F, \quad (13)$$

where W depends on the potential shape but is independent of E . Thus, the conductance G of the bent nanotube depends linearly on E_F for very small E_F in the contrast with the ideal (rectilinear) nanotube. In the latter case $G = G_0 = e^2/2\pi\hbar$ (per one spin projection) right from zero Fermi energy, because in nanotubes there exists a nontrivial solution of the Schrodinger equation

¹⁾It is easy to see a similarity of the problem in question with Λ -doubling in the spectra of biatomic molecules.

for $E = 0$: $\psi_0 = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ (this is not the case for a 1D plane strip due to zero point energy).

Consider now the case $B < E_F < 4B$ (the second step in the conductance), when three channels are involved $m = 0$ and $m = \pm 1$. It is easy to see that all perturbation terms in Eq. (7) contain only $\cos \varphi$ and, hence, preserve the parity of the solutions. That is why it is reasonable to choose three eigenfunctions of the zeroth approximation as²⁾ $\psi_0 = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $\psi_+ = \cos \varphi/\sqrt{\pi}$, $\psi_- = \sin \varphi/\sqrt{\pi}$. Then the ψ_- state is split off and we come to the following pair of coupled equations for the slow amplitudes c_0 , c_+ (only leading terms of the expansion in $\alpha(s)$ are kept):

$$\begin{aligned} c_0'' - \sqrt{2}\alpha c_0'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2a^2}\right) c_0 &= 0, \\ c_+'' - \sqrt{2}\alpha c_+'' + \left(q^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2a^2}\right) c_+ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $k^2 = 2\mu E/\hbar^2$, $q^2 = 2\mu(E - B)/\hbar^2 = k^2 - 1/a^2$.

Thus, the nanotube bending causes now both reflection of the electron waves and interchannel transitions. This results in distortion of the rectangular shape of the steps in the dependence $G(E_F)$. In the multichannel situation $G(E_F)$ is given by the sum [6] $T_{00} + T_{++} + T_{--} + T_{0+} + T_{+0}$. The system (14) has been analyzed for $\alpha(s)$ in the form of a rectangular barrier. However it is easy to see that, due to the threshold character of the transitions under consideration, the results have general meaning.

For F_F close to B the transmission coefficient T_{00} may be put to be equalled 1 as the barrier height $\sim \alpha B \ll E_F$. The coefficients T_{++} and T_{--} in the region of onset of the second step ($E_F - B \ll B$) correspond to slow incoming particles and are described by formulae of the type (13) with slightly changed W as it follows from Eqs. (9) and (10). At last, T_{+0} relates to the interchannel scattering in the threshold regime (slow particle in the final state) and depends on energy as $\sqrt{E - B}$ (finite matrix element at $E \rightarrow B$ times the ratio of the

current densities in subbands ε_+ and ε_0)³⁾. The same is true for T_{0+} - all is similar to the transmission of a quantum particle over a potential wall at the energy slightly exceeding the wall height. The latter in our case equals B - the separation between the bottoms of subbands ε_+ and ε_0 . So, the leading contribution to the conductance comes from interchannel scattering and the second step in $G(E_F)$ starts as $G_0(1 + \text{const}\sqrt{E_F - B})$. This law holds only for $E_F - B \leq \alpha B$. With energy increasing ($q^2 a^2 \gg 1$) the coupling terms in Eqs.(13) become unimportant, T_{++} , T_{--} tend to 1 while T_{+0} , T_{0+} decrease and $G(E_F)$ reaches its second quantized value for the rectilinear nanotube $3G_0$. It is clear that similar behaviour of $G(E_F)$ should be expected at the onsets of all the other steps.

In conclusion, bending of a nanotube results in splitting the energy subbands due to breaking the azimuthal symmetry. Qualitative changes arise at the onset of each step in the staircase-like dependence of ballistic conductance of nanotube on the Fermi energy.

The work was supported by RFBR (grant # 16377), by the RF President grant for scientific schools and by the Program "Nanostructures" of the Russian Ministry of Science and Education. I greatly appreciate the hospitality extended to me at the University of Aizu during my research visit. Also I thank V. I. Ryzhii for valuable discussions.

1. A. V. Chaplik and R. H. Blick, New J. of Physics **6**, 33 (2004).
2. H. Jensen and H. Koppe, Ann. Phys. **46**, 586 (1971).
3. R. C. T. da Costa, Phys. Rev. **A23**, 1982 (1981).
4. M. V. Entin and L. I. Magarill, Phys. Rev. **B66**, 205308 (2002).
5. L. I. Magarill and M. V. Entin, ZhETF **123**, 867 (2003) [J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **96**, 766 (2003)].
6. M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1761 (1980) [IBM J. Res. Dev. **32**, 317 (1988)].

²⁾Here "+" and "-" denote even and odd solutions, correspondingly.

³⁾Strictly speaking the density of current in a curvilinear system is changed ($\nabla_s = (1/\hbar)\partial/\partial s$) but asymptotically at $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ we have $\hbar = 1$.