

Supplemental Material to the article

“Two-dimensional Coulomb glass as a model for vortex pinning in superconducting films”

1. Schwinger–Dyson identities. The Equation (2) from the main paper text is a good starting point for the diagram technique in terms of auxiliary field φ . It would then be useful to derive exact identities which relate its correlation functions to the correlation functions of vortex density.

The arbitrary correlation function is defined as follows:

$$\langle O[\delta n, \varphi] \rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \text{Tr}_v O[\delta n, \varphi] e^{-S[\varphi, \delta n]}. \quad (1)$$

Due to the invariance of the integration measure w.r.t. infinitesimal transformations $\varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a \mapsto \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a + \epsilon_{\mathbf{r}}^a$, we immediately obtain:

$$\langle O[\delta n, \varphi] \rangle \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \text{Tr}_v \left(O[\delta n, \varphi] + \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{r}}^a \left[\frac{\partial O[\delta n, \varphi]}{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a} - O[\delta n, \varphi] \frac{\partial S[\varphi, \delta n]}{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a} \right] \right) e^{-S[\varphi, \delta n]}, \quad (2)$$

and due to arbitrary value of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{r}}$, taking also into account the exact form of the action Eq. (2), we immediately obtain the following identity:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial O[\delta n, \varphi]}{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a} \right\rangle = \left\langle O[\delta n, \varphi] \frac{\partial S[\varphi, \delta n]}{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a} \right\rangle = \left\langle O[\delta n, \varphi] \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{r}_1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}_1}^{-1} \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1}^a - i \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a \right\} \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

By picking out various O , we can obtain various useful identities for the correlation functions. In particular, we have:

$$O[\delta n, \varphi] = \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^b \Rightarrow \delta_{ab} \delta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}_1}^{-1} \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \rangle - i \langle \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$O[\delta n, \varphi] = i \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^b \Rightarrow 0 = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}_1}^{-1} i \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1}^a \delta n_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \rangle + \langle \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a \delta n_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \rangle \quad (5)$$

thus the following identity follows:

$$\langle \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a \delta n_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \rangle = \delta_{ab} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^{-1} - \sum_{\mathbf{r}_{1,2}} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}_1}^{-1} \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_2}^b \rangle (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}_2\mathbf{r}'}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore one obtains, for the correlation function $\langle \varphi \varphi \rangle$ in the form of Eq. (9):

$$\langle \delta n \delta n \rangle = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}}{1 + \beta \hat{J} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}}. \quad (7)$$

It is also worth noting that the local correlation function has then the form $\langle \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a \delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^b \rangle = \hat{\mathcal{Q}} - \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \hat{\mathcal{G}} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, which, strictly speaking, does not coincide with $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$; the difference is however parametrically small by an extra $1/W$ factor.

1.1. Polarizability fluctuations. The same procedure allows one to obtain the following expression for the four-point correlation function:

$$\langle \langle \delta n_{\mathbf{r}_1}^a \delta n_{\mathbf{r}_2}^b \delta n_{\mathbf{r}_3}^c \delta n_{\mathbf{r}_4}^d \rangle \rangle = (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_1'}^{-1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}_2\mathbf{r}_2'}^{-1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}_3\mathbf{r}_3'}^{-1} (\beta \hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}_4\mathbf{r}_4'}^{-1} \langle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1'}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_2'}^b \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_3'}^c \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_4'}^d \rangle \rangle. \quad (8)$$

In the vicinity of T_c , the mean-field theory predicts the following form of the correlation function $\langle \langle \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{r}}^{ab} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{r}'}^{cd} \rangle \rangle \simeq \langle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}'}^b \varphi_{\mathbf{r}'}^c \varphi_{\mathbf{r}}^d \rangle \rangle$ (for $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \gg l$, by definition of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ matrix). Using the diagram technique, one can show that coordinate dependence of the φ correlation function can be restored in the limit $|\mathbf{r}_1' - \mathbf{r}_2'| \lesssim l$ and $|\mathbf{r}_3' - \mathbf{r}_4'| \lesssim l$ as follows:

$$\langle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_1'}^a \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_2'}^b \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_3'}^c \varphi_{\mathbf{r}_4'}^d \rangle \rangle \simeq G_{\mathbf{r}_1'\mathbf{x}}^{aa'} G_{\mathbf{r}_2'\mathbf{x}}^{bb'} G_{\mathbf{r}_3'\mathbf{y}}^{cc'} G_{\mathbf{r}_4'\mathbf{y}}^{dd'} \langle \langle \delta \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}^{a'b'} \delta \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{y}}^{c'd'} \rangle \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, since $(\beta\hat{J})_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}^{-1}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{x}} = \delta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{x}}$ and the value of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ contains an extra smallness $\sim 1/W$, thus these sections of diagrams can be replaced by delta-functions:

$$\langle\langle\delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^a\delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^b\delta n_{\mathbf{r}}^c\delta n_{\mathbf{r}'}^d\rangle\rangle\simeq\langle\langle\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{r}}^{ab}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{r}'}^{cd}\rangle\rangle. \quad (10)$$

Finally, the mean-square fluctuations of the polarizability corresponds to the replica component $a = c \neq b = d$, which can be symmetrized as follows:

$$\overline{\langle\delta n_{\mathbf{r}}\delta n_{\mathbf{r}'}\rangle^2} = \lim_{n\rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{a\neq b} \langle\langle\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{r}}^{ab}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{r}'}^{ab}\rangle\rangle, \quad \lim_{n\rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \mathbb{P}_{bb}^{aa} = \frac{3}{2}. \quad (11)$$

2. Derivation of the Ginzburg–Landau functional. In the main text, the following action for two matrix fields was derived:

$$nS[\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}] = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\ln(1 + \beta\hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) + \beta n \sum_{\mathbf{r}} F_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{r}}], \quad (12)$$

where $F_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}]$ is a local free energy of a single-cite problem with the following Hamiltonian:

$$-\beta\hat{H}_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} \delta n^a (\beta^2 W^2 + \mathcal{G}^{ab}) \delta n^b + \beta\mu \sum_a \delta n^a. \quad (13)$$

In this section we will derive the expansion of this action around the replica-symmetric solution of saddle point equations in the vicinity of the phase transition. Substituting the expansion $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0 + \delta\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0 + \delta\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, the fluctuations of the second term can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta\text{Tr}\ln(1 + \beta\hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k} \text{Tr}(\hat{G}\delta\hat{\mathcal{Q}})^k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k}{a^{2k-2}} \text{Tr}(\delta\hat{\mathcal{Q}})^k \quad (14)$$

(the latter identity utilizes the replicon condition $\sum_a \delta\mathcal{Q}_{ab} = 0$), with the following notation:

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \int (d\mathbf{q}) G_0^k(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\beta U_0}{2} \frac{1}{k-1} \left(\frac{a^2}{\nu_0 T} \right)^{k-1}, \quad k > 1. \quad (15)$$

The fluctuations of the third term read:

$$\beta n \delta F_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}] = - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k!} Q_{(a_1 b_1) \dots (a_k b_k)} \delta \mathcal{G}_{a_1 b_1} \dots \delta \mathcal{G}_{a_k b_k}, \quad (16)$$

where the following irreducible correlation function with independent variables being pairs $\delta n_{a_i} \delta n_{b_i}$ was introduced:

$$Q_{(a_1 b_1) \dots (a_k b_k)} \equiv \langle\langle (\delta n_{a_1} \delta n_{b_1}) \dots (\delta n_{a_k} \delta n_{b_k}) \rangle\rangle_{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (17)$$

and the average is performed w.r.t. the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_0]$.

The soft mode in this expansion is $\delta\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \hat{\Psi}$ и $\delta\hat{\mathcal{Q}} = Q_{22}\hat{\Psi}$. The term $\text{Tr}\ln$ then reads explicitly:

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta\text{Tr}\ln(1 + \beta\hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \nu_0 T_c \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{6} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{2k(k-1)} \text{Tr}\hat{\Psi}^k = \nu_0 T_c \left(-\frac{1}{24} \text{Tr}\hat{\Psi}^2 + \frac{1}{432} \text{Tr}\hat{\Psi}^3 - \frac{1}{5184} \text{Tr}\hat{\Psi}^4 + \dots \right). \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, the $F_{\mathbf{v}}$ term generates terms with different replica structure:

$$\beta n \delta^{(3)} F_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}] = -\frac{1}{12} \left(Q_{33} \sum_{ab} \Psi_{ab}^3 + 2Q_{222} \text{tr}\hat{\Psi}^3 \right) = -\nu_0 T \left(\frac{1}{360} \sum_{ab} \Psi_{ab}^3 + \frac{1}{180} \text{tr}\hat{\Psi}^3 \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta n \delta^{(4)} F_{\mathbf{v}}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}] &= - \left(\frac{5}{32} Q_{2222} \text{tr}\hat{\Psi}^4 + \frac{1}{48} Q_{44} \sum_{ab} \Psi_{ab}^4 + \frac{1}{8} Q_{422} \sum_{abc} \Psi_{ab}^2 \Psi_{ac}^2 + \frac{1}{4} Q_{332} \sum_{abc} \Psi_{ab}^2 \Psi_{ac} \Psi_{bc} \right) = \\ &= -\nu_0 T \left(\frac{1}{896} \text{tr}\hat{\Psi}^4 + \frac{1}{2016} \sum_{ab} \Psi_{ab}^4 + \frac{1}{840} \sum_{abc} \Psi_{ab}^2 \Psi_{ac} \Psi_{bc} - \frac{1}{840} \sum_{abc} \Psi_{ab}^2 \Psi_{ac}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we have denoted:

$$Q_{222} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du}{(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2})^6} \approx \frac{\nu_0 T}{30}, \quad Q_{2222} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du}{(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2})^8} \approx \frac{\nu_0 T}{140}, \quad (21)$$

$$Q_{33} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du \tanh^2 \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2}}{(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2})^4} \approx \frac{\nu_0 T}{30}, \quad Q_{332} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du \tanh^2 \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2}}{(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2})^6} \approx \frac{\nu_0 T}{210}, \quad (22)$$

$$Q_{44} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du \left(\left(2 \sinh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right)^2}{\left(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2} \right)^8} \approx \frac{\nu_0 T}{42}, \quad Q_{422} = \int \frac{\nu(u)du \left(\left(2 \sinh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right)}{\left(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u-\mu)}{2} \right)^8} = -\frac{\nu_0 T}{105}. \quad (23)$$

3. One-step replica symmetry breaking. The free energy per lattice cite in the saddle point approximation (neglecting the spatial fluctuations of matrices) contains several terms $\beta F = S[\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}]/N = (S_L[\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}] + S_f[\hat{\mathcal{Q}}])/N + \beta F_v[\hat{\mathcal{G}}]$, which in the one-step replica symmetry breaking (1RSB) scheme read:

$$S_L[\hat{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}]/N = \text{tr}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}\hat{\mathcal{Q}})/2n = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1-m}{m} \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{Q}_0 + \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{G}_0 \mathcal{Q}_2 + \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{Q}_1 + m \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 + \mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{Q}_1 \right), \quad (24)$$

$$S_f/N = \text{Tr} \ln(1 + \beta \hat{J} \hat{\mathcal{Q}})/2Nn = \frac{\beta U_0}{4} \left(-\left(\frac{1}{m} - 1 \right) \left(\mathcal{Q}_0 + \mathcal{Q}_0 \ln \frac{1}{\beta U_0 \mathcal{Q}_0} \right) + \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1 \ln \frac{1}{\beta U_0 \mathcal{Q}_1} \right) + \mathcal{Q}_2 \ln \frac{1}{\beta U_0 \mathcal{Q}_1} \right), \quad (25)$$

$$\beta F_v = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{G}_0 + m \mathcal{G}_1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - K \right)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{G}_0 - \beta \tilde{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - K \right) - \frac{1}{m} \int du_2 \nu_2(u_2) \ln \Xi(u_2), \quad (26)$$

where we have introduced renormalized chemical potential $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + T(\mathcal{G}_0 + m \mathcal{G}_1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - K \right)$, renormalized disorder strength $\tilde{W} = \sqrt{W^2 + T^2 \mathcal{G}_2}$, and two auxiliary “distribution functions”:

$$\nu_2(u_2) = \frac{\exp(-u_2^2/2\tilde{W}^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi\tilde{W}}}, \quad \nu_1(u_1, u_2) = \frac{\exp(-u_1^2/2T^2\mathcal{G}_1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{G}_1}T} \left[2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u_1 + u_2 - \tilde{\mu})}{2} \right]^m, \quad \Xi(u_2) = \int du_1 \nu_1(u_1, u_2). \quad (27)$$

One can extract the leading asymptotic behavior of the integral over u_2 making use of the small parameter $U_0/W \ll 1$:

$$\beta F_v = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{G}_0 + m \mathcal{G}_1) K(1 - K) - \beta \tilde{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - K \right) - \left\langle \ln \left(2 \cosh \frac{\beta(u_2 - \tilde{\mu})}{2} \right) \right\rangle_2 - \frac{1}{2} \nu_0 T f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1), \quad (28)$$

where the following dimensionless function was introduced:

$$f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) = \frac{2}{m} \int dz \left(\ln \Xi(z, m, \mathcal{G}_1) - m \ln 2 \cosh \frac{z}{2} - \frac{m^2 \mathcal{G}_1}{8} \right), \quad (29)$$

$$\Xi(z, m, \mathcal{G}_1) = \Xi(u_2 \equiv \tilde{\mu} + Tz) = \int \frac{dy e^{-y^2/2\mathcal{G}_1}}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{G}_1}} \left[2 \cosh \frac{y+z}{2} \right]^m, \quad (30)$$

with the variables $z = \beta(u_2 - \tilde{\mu})$, and $y = \beta u_1$. The variation of the full free energy w.r.t. $\mathcal{Q}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_i$ yield equations for $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ respectively – to Eqs. (35), (36).

3.1. Analysis of the equations in the $T \ll T_c$ limit. The solution in the low temperature limit behaves as $m \ll 1$, $\mathcal{G}_1 \gg 1$, $\xi \equiv m^2 \mathcal{G}_1/8 = O(1)$. Such scaling allows us to calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi \left(z = \frac{x}{m}, m, \mathcal{G}_1 \right) &\underset{m \ll 1}{\equiv} \Xi(x, \xi) = \int \frac{dy}{4\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \exp \left(-\frac{y^2}{16\xi} + \frac{1}{2}|y+x| \right) = \\ &= \frac{e^\xi}{2} \left[e^{y/2} \left(1 + \text{erf} \left(\frac{4\xi+x}{4\sqrt{\xi}} \right) \right) + e^{-x/2} \left(1 + \text{erf} \left(\frac{4\xi-x}{4\sqrt{\xi}} \right) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

while for auxiliary dimensionless function the following scaling holds: $f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) = 8f(\xi)/m^2$, where:

$$f(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \int dx \left(\ln \Xi(x, \xi) - \frac{|x|}{2} - \xi \right). \quad (32)$$

The saddle point equations for $q \equiv \mathcal{Q}_0/\nu_0 T$, ξ и m can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} q &= f'(\xi)/(1-m) \\ \xi &= \frac{3}{4}m\beta T_c \ln \frac{1}{f'(\xi)} \\ 2f(\xi) - \xi f'(\xi) &= \frac{3}{4}m\beta T_c(1-q) \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Assuming the scaling $m = \mu(T/T_c)$, $\mu = O(1)$, the system of equations becomes fully dimensionless, and can be reduced to the single equation for ξ variable, which can then be solved numerically:

$$\xi = \frac{2f(\xi) - \xi f'(\xi)}{1 - f'(\xi)} \ln \frac{1}{f'(\xi)} \Rightarrow \xi \approx 9.17, \quad (34)$$

$$q = f'(\xi) \approx 1.43 \cdot 10^{-5}, \quad \mu = \frac{4(2f(\xi) - \xi f'(\xi))}{3(1-q)} \approx 1.10. \quad (35)$$

Due to the large value of ξ , these numerical solutions can be obtained analytically with good precision. The scaling function has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$f(\xi) \approx \frac{\pi^2}{24} - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\xi}} e^{-\xi}, \quad \xi \gg 1 \quad (36)$$

so that $q \approx \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\pi/\xi} e^{-\xi}$ (which yields $1.52 \cdot 10^{-5}$), and $\mu \approx 8f(\xi)/3 \approx \pi^2/9$ (which yields 1.10). Substituting also this asymptotic to the equation for ξ , one can see that it does contain numerically small parameter $\epsilon = \frac{6}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{2} \approx 0.11$ and has the approximate form $\ln \frac{16\xi}{\pi} \approx \epsilon\xi$.

3.2. Distribution function of the local pinning potential. The distribution function of the vortex local pinning potential is defined as follows:

$$P(u) = \langle \langle \delta(u - (u_1 + u_2)) \rangle_1 \rangle_2 \equiv \int du_2 \nu_2(u_2) \frac{1}{\Xi(u_2)} \int du_1 \nu_1(u_1, u_2) \delta(u - (u_1 + u_2)), \quad (37)$$

where the averages $\langle \dots \rangle_1$ and $\langle \dots \rangle_2$ are taken w.r.t. distribution functions defined in (27).

At low temperatures, the distribution function is noticeably modified in the vicinity of the chemical potential in the region of size $\propto T_c$. We will then calculate the distribution function of the rescaled variable $h \equiv (u - \tilde{\mu})/T_c$. The asymptotic behavior of the function $\Xi(u_2)$ was already obtained above, see Eq. (31), where $z = \beta(u_2 - \tilde{\mu})$. In this limit, the distribution function reads:

$$P(h) = \nu_0 T_c \cdot \frac{\exp(\mu|h|/2)}{4\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int \frac{dx}{\Xi(x, \xi)} \exp\left(-\frac{(\mu h - x)^2}{16\xi}\right). \quad (38)$$

Just like in the previous section, these expression can be further simplified analytically for $\xi \gg 1$, and read as follows:

$$\Xi(x, \xi) \approx \exp(|x|/2 + \xi), \quad P(h) \approx \nu_0 T_c \cdot \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{4\xi - \mu|h|}{4\sqrt{\xi}}\right). \quad (39)$$

3.3. Low temperature behavior of the entropy. The expression for the entropy can be obtained by differentiating the full free energy w.r.t. T . If one also takes into account the saddle point equations, one obtains the following simple expression valid for arbitrary T :

$$S = \nu_0 T \left(f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) + \frac{1}{2} m \frac{\partial f_v}{\partial m} - \mathcal{G}_1 \frac{\partial f_v}{\partial \mathcal{G}_1} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) - 3\beta T_c \mathcal{Q}_0. \quad (40)$$

As we have shown above, in the low temperature limit auxiliary function f_v satisfies scaling relation $f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) \approx 8f_v(\xi)/m^2$. This scaling relation nullifies the combination of first three terms in the equation above.

However, since $f_v \propto \beta^2$, such cancellation only guarantees the absence of the unphysical terms $\sim 1/T$ in the entropy. In order to extract the low-temperature behavior of the entropy, one should consider corrections to this scaling:

$$\Delta f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) \equiv f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) - \frac{8}{m^2} f(\xi) = \frac{2}{m^2} \int dx \ln \frac{\Xi(\frac{x}{m}, m, \mathcal{G}_1)}{\Xi(x, \xi)} - \frac{\pi^2}{3}. \quad (41)$$

The quantity under the logarithm is close to unity when $m \ll 1$, which allows us to expand:

$$\Delta f_v(m, \mathcal{G}_1) = \frac{2}{m^2} \int \frac{dx}{\Xi(x, \xi)} \int \frac{dy}{4\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{16\xi}\right) \left(\left[2 \cosh \frac{y+x}{2m} \right]^m - e^{|x+y|/2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \underset{m \ll 1}{\approx} \frac{\pi^2}{3} (g(\xi) - 1) \quad (42)$$

with:

$$g(\xi) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int \frac{dx}{\Xi(x, \xi)} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{16\xi}\right) \equiv \frac{P(h=0)}{\nu_0 T_c}. \quad (43)$$

The low-temperature entropy then reads $S = -3\beta T_c \mathcal{Q}_0 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \nu_0 T g(\xi) \rightarrow -3\beta T_c \mathcal{Q}_0$.

